

CASTELNUOVO-MUMFORD REGULARITY OF PRODUCTS OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

L. CHU*, Y. QIAN, AND S. YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k . We prove that for any positive integers m, n ,

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^m J^n K) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J) + \operatorname{reg}(K)$$

if $I, J, K \subseteq R$ are three monomial complete intersections (I, J, K are not necessarily proper ideals of the polynomial ring R), and I, J are of the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, x_{i_2}^{a_2}, \dots, x_{i_l}^{a_l})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Through this paper, let $R = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ be a polynomial ring over a field k and I a graded ideal. Let M be a finitely generated graded R -module and \mathfrak{m} be the maximal graded ideal of R . Let $a_i(M) := \max\{j \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)_j \neq 0\}$ if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \neq 0$, and $a_i(M) := -\infty$ otherwise. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (or regularity for short) of M is defined as the invariant

$$\operatorname{reg}(M) := \max\{a_i(M) + i : i \geq 0\}.$$

The regularity is one of the most important invariants of a finitely generated graded module M over a polynomial ring R . In particular, it is of great interest to have a good bound for this invariant. For

MSC(2010): Primary: 13D02; Secondary: 13D25, 13A02

Keywords: Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, complete intersections, ideals of Borel type.

Received: 27 October 2015, Accepted: 30 December 2015.

*Corresponding author.

This work is supported by NSF of China (11201326), by Jiangsu Provincial Training Programs of Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Undergraduates.

example, it is natural to ask whether the following formula holds:

$$\operatorname{reg}(IM) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(M)$$

This is not the case in general. There are several counterexamples already with $M = I$ such that $\operatorname{reg}(I^2) > 2\operatorname{reg}(I)$, see Sturmfels [9] and Terai [10]. On the other hand, for a graded ideal I with $\dim R/I \leq 1$, Geramita, Gimigliano and Pitteloud [6] and Chandler [2], have shown that

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^k) \leq k\operatorname{reg}(I).$$

This inequality was generalized by Conca and Herzog [5] to the result (if $\dim R/I \leq 1$):

$$\operatorname{reg}(IM) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(M).$$

At the same time, a question was raised by them.

Question. ([5, Question 3.6]): Is it true that

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_1 I_2 \cdots I_d) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_1) + \operatorname{reg}(I_2) + \cdots + \operatorname{reg}(I_d)$$

for any set of complete intersections I_1, I_2, \dots, I_d ?

The question has a negative answer for non-monomial complete intersections I_1, I_2, \dots, I_d (see [3, Example 4.5]). On the other hand, along the positive direction, it holds in some cases:

- (i) Each of I_1, I_2, \dots, I_d is generated by linear forms ([5, Theorem 3.1]).
- (ii) $d = 2$ and I_1, I_2 are monomial complete intersections ([3, Theorem 1.1]).

In [4], Cimpoeaş proved that for two monomial ideals of Borel type I, J ,

$$\operatorname{reg}(IJ) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(J).$$

These results (specially, [3, Theorem 1.1] and Cimpoeaş's result) give us an inspiration to consider the regularity of the products of ideals of Borel type and monomial complete intersections. In fact, it is not hard to find that

$$\operatorname{reg}(IK) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(K),$$

for a monomial ideal of Borel type I and a monomial complete intersection K (Corollary 2.7). Following the idea of this result, we prove the result below:

Let $I, J, K \subseteq R$ be three monomial complete intersections (I, J, K are not necessarily proper ideals of the polynomial ring R). Assume

that I, J are generated by some powers of some variables, i.e., I, J are of the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, x_{i_2}^{a_2}, \dots, x_{i_t}^{a_t})$. Then for any positive integers m, n ,

$$\text{reg}(I^m J^n K) \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J) + \text{reg}(K).$$

2. RESULTS

We begin this section with some remarks.

Remark 2.1. (i) ([8]) Let I, J be two monomial ideals of R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}(I + J) &\leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J) - 1, \\ \text{reg}(I \cap J) &\leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $I = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r) \subseteq R$ be a monomial ideal. Then I is a complete intersection if and only if $\text{Supp}(u_i) \cap \text{Supp}(u_j) = \emptyset, i \neq j$, where $\text{Supp}(u) = \{j \mid x_j \mid u\}$ for a monomial $u \in R$. Hence, for a ring isomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$ given by a reordering of variables, $\varphi(I)$ is still a complete intersection if I is a monomial complete intersection.

Remark 2.2. Let $I, J, K \subseteq R$ be three monomial complete intersections, and I, J be of the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, x_{i_2}^{a_2}, \dots, x_{i_t}^{a_t})$. We can define a ring isomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$ given by a reordering of variables, such that

$$\varphi(I) = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{a_2}, \dots, x_s^{a_s})$$

and

$$\varphi(J) = (x_1^{b_1}, x_2^{b_2}, \dots, x_r^{b_r}, y_1^{b_{r+1}}, \dots, y_t^{b_{r+t}}),$$

$r \leq s, \{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \subseteq \{x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \dots, x_n\}$. Since the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is an invariant, it follows that for any positive integers m, n ,

$$\text{reg}(I^m J^n K) = \text{reg}(\varphi(I)^m \varphi(J)^n \varphi(K)),$$

and $\text{reg}(I) = \text{reg}(\varphi(I)), \text{reg}(J) = \text{reg}(\varphi(J)), \text{reg}(K) = \text{reg}(\varphi(K))$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let I, J be two arbitrary graded ideals. Then*

$$\text{reg}(I \cap J) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(I), \text{reg}(J), \text{reg}(I + J) + 1\}.$$

We consider the monomial ideal $I = (x_1^{l_1}, x_2^{l_2}, \dots, x_s^{l_s}) \subseteq R$, where $s \leq N$ is a positive integer and $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_s \geq 1$ are integers. Let $\bar{R} = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$ and $\bar{I} = \bar{R} \cap I$. By the definition of the regularity, $\text{reg}(I) = \text{reg}(\bar{I}) = \text{reg}(\bar{R}/\bar{I}) + 1 = \max\{j \mid H_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)}^0(\bar{R}/\bar{I})_j \neq 0\} + 1 = \max\{j \mid (\bar{R}/\bar{I})_j \neq 0\} + 1$. It is clear that the monomial $x_1^{l_1-1} x_2^{l_2-1} \dots x_s^{l_s-1} \in \bar{R}$ is the monomial of the maximal degree which is not in \bar{I} . Then

$$\text{reg}(I) = (l_1 - 1) + (l_2 - 1) + \dots + (l_s - 1) + 1 = l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_s - s + 1.$$

In addition, note that $(\bar{I})^m = \bar{R} \cap I^m$, and then, as above, $\text{reg}(I^m) = \max\{j \mid (\bar{R}/(\bar{I})^m)_j \neq 0\} + 1$. We can easily see that the monomial $x_1^{ml_1-1} x_2^{l_2-1} \cdots x_s^{l_s-1} \in \bar{R}$ is the monomial of the maximal degree which is not in $(\bar{I})^m$. Therefore,

$$\text{reg}(I^m) = (ml_1 - 1) + (l_2 - 1) + \cdots + (l_s - 1) + 1 = ml_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_s - s + 1,$$

and

$$\text{reg}(I^m) \leq m\text{reg}(I).$$

Generally, for the monomial ideal of complete intersection $I = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) \subseteq R$, where $s \leq N$ is a positive integer, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s is an R -regular sequence and $\text{deg}u_i = l_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, by the main result of [7], the graded Betti numbers of I^m depend only on the numbers $\{m, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$. Then the following result is given.

Remark 2.4. Let $I = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$ be a monomial complete intersection, where u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s is an R -regular sequence and $\text{deg}u_i = l_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, with $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \cdots \geq l_s \geq 1$. Then

$$\text{reg}(I^m) = (ml_1 - 1) + (l_2 - 1) + \cdots + (l_s - 1) + 1 = ml_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_s - s + 1.$$

In particular, $\text{reg}(I^m) \leq m\text{reg}(I)$. Moreover, if $m \geq 2$ is an integer, then $\text{reg}(I^m) = m\text{reg}(I)$ if and only if $l_2 = \cdots = l_s = 1$.

Lemma 2.5. ([1, Corollary 3.17]) *Let I be an ideal of Borel type with a irredundant irreducible decomposition $I = q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$. Then*

$$\text{reg}(I) = \max\{\text{reg}(q_1), \dots, \text{reg}(q_r)\}.$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let I, J be two monomial ideals of Borel type in R and Q an arbitrary monomial ideal in S (J, Q are not necessarily proper ideals of the polynomial ring R). Then $(IJ : Q)$ is also of Borel type. Moreover,*

$$\text{reg}(IJ : Q) \leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J).$$

In particular, $\text{reg}(IJ) \leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J)$ and $\text{reg}(I^m) \leq m\text{reg}(I)$.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $(IJ : Q)$ is of Borel type by the known results (for example, [4, Proposition 1.1]). For the second statement, let $IJ = q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_r$ be a irredundant irreducible decomposition of IJ . Then $(IJ : Q) = (q_1 : Q) \cap (q_2 : Q) \cap \cdots \cap (q_r : Q)$ is a irreducible decomposition of $(IJ : Q)$. Note that $\text{reg}(q_i : Q) \leq \text{reg}(q_i)$. By Lemma 2.5, $\text{reg}(IJ : Q) \leq \max\{\text{reg}(q_1 : Q), \dots, \text{reg}(q_r : Q)\} \leq \max\{\text{reg}(q_1), \dots, \text{reg}(q_r)\} = \text{reg}(IJ)$. Then by [4, Theorem 1.7],

$$\text{reg}(IJ : Q) \leq \text{reg}(IJ) \leq \text{reg}(I) + \text{reg}(J).$$

Corollary 2.7. *Let I be a monomial ideal of Borel type and K a monomial complete intersection. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(IK) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I) + \operatorname{reg}(K).$$

Proof. We follow the method of [3, Theorem 3.1]. As stated in [3, Remark 3.2], we only need to prove that $\operatorname{reg}(I : Q) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I)$ for an arbitrary monomial ideal Q . Then the result follows by Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that I, J are generated by some powers of some variables, i.e., I, J are of the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, x_{i_2}^{a_2}, \dots, x_{i_t}^{a_t})$. Then for any positive integers m, n , and an arbitrary monomial ideal Q ,*

$$\operatorname{reg}(I^m J^n : Q) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J).$$

In particular, $\operatorname{reg}(I^m J^n) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$.

Proof. By Remark 2.2, we can assume that $I = (x_1^{a_1}, x_2^{a_2}, \dots, x_s^{a_s})$ and $J = (x_1^{b_1}, x_2^{b_2}, \dots, x_r^{b_r}, y_1^{b_{r+1}}, \dots, y_t^{b_{r+t}})$, $r \leq s$, $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\} \subseteq \{x_{r+1}, x_{r+2}, \dots, x_n\}$. Set $J_1 = (x_1^{b_1}, x_2^{b_2}, \dots, x_r^{b_r})$, $J_2 = (y_1^{b_{r+1}}, \dots, y_t^{b_{r+t}})$. Since I, J are two monomial ideals, we have that $I^m J^n = I^m (J_1 + J_2)^n = I^m (J_1^n + J_1^{n-1} J_2 + \dots + J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n) = I^m J_1^n + I^m J_1^{n-1} J_2 + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + I^m J_2^n$
 $= I^m J_1^n + I^m J_1^{n-1} J_2 + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + I^m \cap J_2^n = I^m \cap (I^m J_1^n + I^m J_1^{n-1} J_2 + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n)$
 $= I^m \cap (I^m J_1^n + I^m J_1^{n-1} + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n) \cap (I^m J_1^n + J_2 + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n)$
 $= I^m \cap (I^m J_1^{n-1} + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n) \cap (I^m J_1^n + J_2) = I^m \cap (I^m J_1^{n-2} + \dots + I^m J_1 J_2^{n-1} + J_2^n) \cap (I^m J_1^{n-1} + J_2^2) \cap (I^m J_1^n + J_2) = \dots = I^m \cap (I^m J_1 + J_2^n) \cap (I^m J_1^2 + J_2^{n-1}) \cap \dots \cap (I^m J_1^{n-1} + J_2^2) \cap (I^m J_1^n + J_2)$.

Therefore, $(I^m J^n : Q) = (I^m \cap (I^m J_1 + J_2^n) \cap (I^m J_1^2 + J_2^{n-1}) \cap \dots \cap (I^m J_1^{n-1} + J_2^2) \cap (I^m J_1^n + J_2) : Q) = (I^m : Q) \cap ((I^m J_1 + J_2^n) : Q) \cap ((I^m J_1^2 + J_2^{n-1}) : Q) \cap \dots \cap ((I^m J_1^{n-1} + J_2^2) : Q) \cap ((I^m J_1^n + J_2) : Q)$.

Let $L_i = (I^m J_1^{n-i+1} + J_2^i) : Q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. we want to prove that $\operatorname{reg}(\cap_{i=1}^n L_i) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$ by induction.

When $i = 1$, since I, J_1 are of Borel type, by Remark 2.1(i) and Proposition 2.6, $\operatorname{reg}(L_1) = \operatorname{reg}((I^m J_1^n + J_2) : Q) = \operatorname{reg}(I^m J_1^n : Q + J_2 : Q) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I^m J_1^n : Q) + \operatorname{reg}(J_2 : Q) - 1 \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J_1) + \operatorname{reg}(J_2) - 1 \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$ (Note that $\operatorname{reg}(J) = \operatorname{reg}(J_1) + \operatorname{reg}(J_2) - 1$). In fact, by the same reason, for all L'_i s, $\operatorname{reg}(L_i) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$.

Assume that $\operatorname{reg}(\cap_{i=1}^{t-1} L_i) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$. In order to prove that $\operatorname{reg}(\cap_{i=1}^t L_i) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J)$, by Lemma 2.3, we only check that $\operatorname{reg}(L_t + \cap_{i=1}^{t-1} L_i) \leq m\operatorname{reg}(I) + n\operatorname{reg}(J) - 1$. Note that $L_t + \cap_{i=1}^{t-1} L_i = \cap_{i=1}^{t-1} (L_i + L_t) = \cap_{i=1}^{t-1} ((I^m J_1^{n-i+1} + J_2^i + I^m J_1^{n-t+1} + J_2^t) : Q) = (I^m J_1^{n-t+1} +$

$J_2^{t-1} : Q$, by Remark 2.1 (i) and Proposition 2.6, we have that $\text{reg}((I^m J_1^{n-t+1} + J_2^{t-1}) : Q) = \text{reg}((I^m J_1^{n-t+1}) : Q + J_2^{t-1} : Q) \leq \text{reg}((I^m J_1^{n-t+1}) : Q) + \text{reg}(J_2^{t-1} : Q) - 1 \leq m\text{reg}(I) + (n-t+1)\text{reg}(J_1) + (t-1)\text{reg}(J_2) - 1 \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J) - 1$. Then the result follows.

Again by Lemma 2.3, in order to prove that $\text{reg}((I^m : Q) \cap (\cap_{i=1}^n L_i)) \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J)$, we only check that $\text{reg}(I^m : Q + (\cap_{i=1}^n L_i)) \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J) - 1$. This can follow by the same reason as above from the fact that $(I^m : Q + (\cap_{i=1}^n L_i)) = (I^m + J_2^n) : Q$. The proof is completed.

Example 2.9. Let $I = (x_{i_1}^\alpha, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \dots, x_{i_s})$, $J = (y_{i_1}^\beta, y_{i_2}, y_{i_3}, \dots, y_{i_t})$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ and $(y_{i_1}, x_{i_j}) = 1, j = 2, 3, \dots, s$. Note that $\text{reg}(I) = \alpha$, $\text{reg}(J) = \beta$. Then by Proposition 2.8, $m(\alpha + \beta) = \text{deg}(IJ)^m \leq \text{reg}(IJ)^m \leq m(\alpha + \beta)$, and $\text{reg}(IJ)^m = m(\alpha + \beta)$. In this situation, $\text{reg}(IJ)^m = m\text{reg}(IJ)$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $I, J, K \subseteq R$ be three monomial complete intersections. Assume that I, J are generated by some powers of some variables, i.e., I, J are of the form $(x_{i_1}^{a_1}, x_{i_2}^{a_2}, \dots, x_{i_t}^{a_t})$. Then for any positive integers m, n ,*

$$\text{reg}(I^m J^n K) \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J) + \text{reg}(K).$$

Proof. The proof is following the method of [3, Theorem 3.1]. In fact, similarly as stated in [3, Remark 3.2], we only need to prove that $\text{reg}(I^m J^n : Q) \leq m\text{reg}(I) + n\text{reg}(J)$ for an arbitrary monomial ideal Q . Then the result follows by Proposition 2.8, Remark 2.1 (ii) and Remark 2.2.

REFERENCES

1. I. Bermejo, P. Gimenezb, Saturation and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *J. Algebra* **303**(2006), 592–617.
2. K. Chandler, Regularity of the powers of an ideal, *Comm. Algebra* **25**(1997), 3773–3776.
3. M. Chardin, N.C. Minh, N.V. Trung, On the regularity of products and intersections of complete intersections, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 1597–1606.
4. M. Cimpoeaş, Some remarks on Borel type ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **37**(2009), 724–727.
5. A. Conca, J. Herzog, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of products of ideals, *Collect. Math.* **54**(2003), 137–152.
6. A. Geramita, A. Gimigliano, Y. Pitteloud, Graded Betti numbers of some embedded rational n -folds, *Math. Ann.* **301**(1995), 363–380.
7. E. Guardo, A. V. Tuyl, Powers of complete intersections: graded betti numbers and applications, *Illinois J. Math.* **49**(2005), 265–279.
8. J. Herzog, A Generalization of the Taylor Complex Construction, *Comm. Algebra* **35**(5)(2007), 1747–1756.

9. B. Sturmfels, Four counterexamples in combinatorial algebraic geometry, *J. Algebra*, **230** (2000), 282–294.
10. N. Terai, Eisenbud-Goto inequality for Stanley-Reisner rings In: Herzog, J., Restuccia, G, eds. *Geometric and Combinatorial Aspects of Commutative Algebra*. Lecture Note in Pure and Applied Mathematics 217, Marcel Dekker, 379-391, (2001).

Lizhong Chu

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Lizhong Chu, Suzhou, China.

Email: chulizhongsuda.edu.cn

Yuefeng Qian

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Yuefeng Qian, Suzhou, China.

Email: qianyuefengagmail.com

Sisi Yang

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Sisi Yang, Suzhou, China.

Email: 20144207034stu.suda.edu.cn