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#### Abstract

Let $X_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ be a finite chain, $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the semigroup of order-preserving partial isometries on $X_{n}$ and $N$ be the set of all nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. In this work, we study the nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ and investigate the ranks of two subsemigroups of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$; the nilpotent generated subsemigroup $\langle N\rangle$ and the subsemigroup $L(n, r)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{D P}_{n}:|i m \alpha| \leq r\right\}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ be a finite chain, $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the Partial transformation semigroup on $X_{n}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ be the set of all injective transformation on $X_{n}$. The set $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ is known to be an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ (in the sense that $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ there exist a unique $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ such that $\alpha=\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ and $\left.\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\right)$. This semigroup is more commonly known as the symmetric inverse semigroup.

For a transformation $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, we denote the domain set and image set of $\alpha$ as dom $\alpha$ and $i m \alpha$ respectively, while the height of $\alpha$ is denoted and defined as $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)=|i m \alpha|$.

A map $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is said to be order increasing (resp., order decreasing) if, for all $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha, x \leq x \alpha$ (resp. $x \alpha \leq x$ ); order preserving if (for all $x, y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$ ) $x \leq y \Longrightarrow x \alpha \leq y \alpha$; an isometry (i. e., distance preserving) if (for all $x, y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$ ) $|x \alpha-y \alpha|=|x-y|$ and

[^0]an order preserving partial isometry if it is both order-preserving and isometry. Adopting the symbols used in [1], we shall denote by $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ the semigroup of partial isometries and the semigroup of order-preserving partial isometries respectively. The semigroup $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ and some of its subsemigroups have been well studied and its algebraic and combinatorial properties were investigated over the years. Some of these interesting results can be found in $[7,10,11,14,15]$. The study of semigroup of partial isometries on a chain was only initiated recently by Alkharousi et al. [1, 2], where some of the combinatorial, algebraic and rank properties of the two semigroups $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ were investigated.

Let $\alpha$ be in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, then $\alpha$ is said to be a nilpotent if there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^{m}=0$. The study of nilpotents in some subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ have been considered by many authors and some delightful results were obtained. See for example $[3,6,8]$.

The main aim of this paper is to present the study of nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$.

It was shown among other things in Alkharousi et al. [1] that, the semigroup $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ (as an inverse semigroup) has rank $n$. As part of what we want to achieve in this paper is to generalize this result and find the rank of the subsemigroup $L(n, r)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O D P}_{n}:|i m \alpha| \leq r\right\}$ $(1<r \leq n)$ of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$.

In this section we introduce some of the basic terminologies and also quote some results from related literature which we will later need in proving some of the results in this paper.

In section 2 we define nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and describe the nilpotent generated subsemigroup $\langle N\rangle$ of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$.

In section 3 we define an equivalence relation on the subsets of $X_{n}$ and computed the order of each equivalence class. This equivalence relations lead us to finding the minimal generating set for the inverse subsemigroup

$$
M(n, r)=\{\alpha \in\langle N\rangle:|i m \alpha| \leq r\}
$$

Consequently the rank $M(n, r)$ and $\langle N\rangle$ were obtained.
The results obtained in section 3 is extended to investigate the rank of the ideal of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ which was obtained in section 4.

For standard concept in semigroup we refer to Howie [9] and Higgins [12].

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}:(\text { for all } x, y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha)|x \alpha-y \alpha|=|x-y|\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O D P}_{n}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}:(\text { for all } x, y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha) x \leq y \Longrightarrow x \alpha \leq y \alpha\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the semigroup of partial isometries and the semigroup of orderpreserving partial isometries respectively. Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. [1, Lemma 1.1] $\mathcal{D P}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ are inverse subsemigroups of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$.

For a transformation $\alpha$, if $x \alpha=x$ for some $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$ then $x$ is called a fix point of $\alpha$. The set of all fix points of $\alpha$ is denoted by $F(\alpha)$, that is $F(\alpha)=\{x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha: x \alpha=x\}$, while $f(\alpha)$ is defined as the cardinality of the set $F(\alpha)$, that is $f(\alpha)=|F(\alpha)|$. The following results are found useful in this paper:
Lemma 1.2. [1, Lemma 1.7] Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $f(\alpha) \geq 1$. Then $\alpha$ is partial identity.
Lemma 1.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. If $\{1, n\} \subseteq$ dom $\alpha$ then $\alpha$ is a partial identity.

Theorem 1.4. [1, Theorem 2.6] Let $\mathcal{O D P}_{n}$ be the semigroup of order preserving partial isometries. Then $\left|\mathcal{O D P}_{n}\right|=3.2^{n}-2(n+1)$.

## 2. Nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$

Let $S$ be a semigroup with 0 (zero) element. A non zero element $a \in S$ is said to be a nilpotent if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{m}=0$. Since the semigroup $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ is finite and contains a zero element (which is the empty map) therefore, it is natural to ask about its nilpotent elements and its nilpotent generated subsemigroup.

Let $S$ be a semigroup, an element $e$ in $S$ is said to be an idempotent if $e^{2}=e$. We write $E(S)$ to denote the set of all idempotents in a semigroup $S$.

Next, we have the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. [4, Lemma 2.1] Let $\alpha$ be in $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{n}$ such that $h(\alpha)<n$, then $\alpha$ is a nilpotent if and only if $x \alpha \neq x$ for every $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$.

Since the semigroup $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{n}$, every nilpotent/idempotent in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ is also a nilpotent/idempotent in $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{n}$. Therefore, the above Lemma holds for $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ as well.
Lemma 2.2. [8, corollary 2.7.3] The element $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$ is an idempotent if and only if $\alpha$ is the identity transformation of some $A \subseteq X_{n}$. In particular, $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ contains exactly $2^{n}$ idempotents.

The next lemma follows directly from Lemma (1.2), Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (2.2).
Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha$ be in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that $h(\alpha) \geq 1$, then $\alpha$ is either a nilpotent or an idempotent.
Remark 2.4. It is clear that if $h(\alpha)=0$, then $\alpha$ is both nilpotent and idempotent.

Let $N$ be the set of all nilpotent elements in $\mathcal{O D P}_{n}$, Alkharousi [1, Proposition 2.8] obtained the cardinality of the set $N$ from combinatorial point of view as the set of all elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ with zero fixed points. Here we give an alternative proof of the Proposition using the word nilpotents instead of fixed points, which (to our own opinion) will fit better into the context of our work.

Proposition 2.5. Let $N$ be the set of all nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$. Then $|N|=2^{n+1}-(2 n+1)$.
Proof. Let $E\left(\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ be the set of all idempotents in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. From Lemma (2.3) we have that

$$
\left|\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right|=\left|E\left(\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right)\right|+|N|+1
$$

it therefore follows from Theorem (1.4) and Lemma (2.2) that

$$
|N|=3\left(2^{n}\right)-2(n+1)-2^{n}=2^{n+1}-(2 n+1)
$$

One of the interesting question one may ask pertaining the semigroup that has nilpotents is that; can some of those non-nilpotent elements be expressed as the product of nilpotents? The collection of all those elements that can be express as product of nilpotents, together with the nilpotent elements is what we called nilpotent generated subsemigroup. If every element of a semigroup $S$ can be expressed as a product of some nilpotents, then $S$ is called a semigroup generated by nilpotent elements. Otherwise, the nilpotent elements can only generate a proper subsemigroup. Next, we give the description of the subsemigroup $\langle N\rangle$ of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ generated by nilpotent elements. Let

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r}  \tag{2.1}\\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be an element of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ with $\mathrm{h}(\alpha)=r(1 \leq r \leq n)$. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. For $n \geq 2$, let $\alpha$ be defined by $a_{i} \alpha=b_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ be an element of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ with $h(\alpha)<n$. Then $\alpha$ is a product of nilpotents if and only if it fails to satisfies the condition $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{r}=n$.

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be defined as in Equation (2.1) be an element of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ with $h(\alpha)<n$. By Lemma (2.3), we only need to consider when $\alpha$ is idempotent (i. e., $a_{i}=b_{i} \forall(1 \leq i \leq r)$.

Now, Suppose that $\alpha$ fail to satisfy the condition: $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{r}=n$. we shall consider two cases:

Case 1: $a_{1}=1\left(a_{r} \neq n\right)$. Then we can define a set $C=\left\{c_{i}: c_{i}=\right.$ $\left.a_{i}+1,(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}$ and express $\alpha$ as

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

a product of two nilpotents.
case 2: If $a_{1} \neq 1$, then we can define the $C=\left\{c_{i}: c_{i}=a_{i}-1,(1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n)\}$, and express $\alpha$ as product of two nilpotents just as in case 1 .

Conversely, suppose for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\alpha$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \ldots \theta_{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

a product of $k$ nilpotents and suppose by the way of contradiction that $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{r}=n$, then from Equation (2.2) we have that dom $\alpha \subseteq$ dom $\theta_{1}$, and this implies that $\{1, n\} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \theta_{1}$ which by Lemma (1.3) contradicts our assumption that $\theta_{1}$ is a nilpotent.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\alpha$ be defined as in Equation (2.1) then $\alpha$ is a product of nilpotent if and only if it satisfy one of the following conditions:
(I) $a_{1} \neq 1$ and $b_{1} \neq 1$;
(II) $a_{1} \neq 1, b_{1}=1$ and $b_{r} \neq n$;
(III) $a_{1}=1, b_{1} \neq 1$ and $a_{r} \neq n$;
(IV) $a_{1}=1, b_{1}=1$ and $b_{r}=a_{r} \neq n$;

Remark 2.8. We can observe that;
(1) By Corollary (2.7) elements of the nilpotent generated subsemigroup $\langle N\rangle$ can be categorized into four different types. Henceforth, we shall say an element $\alpha \in\langle N\rangle$ is of type $m$ (where $m$ represents any of the conditions $I, I I, I I I, I V$ in the corollary) if $\alpha$ satisfies condition $m$.
(2) Elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ that are not in $\langle N\rangle$ are partial identities of the form: $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \ldots & n \\ 1 & \ldots & n\end{array}\right)$
(3) For any $r$ in ( $2 \leq r \leq n$ ), to select an element in item (2) above, we fix 1 and $n$ and select $r-2$ out of $n-2$. Therefore, the total number of those elements is $\sum_{r=2}^{n}\binom{n-2}{r-2}=2^{n-2}$.

The next theorem gives us the cardinality of the subsemigroup $\langle N\rangle$ generated by nilpotent elements.

Theorem 2.9. Let $N$ be the set of all nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D P}_{n}$, and $\langle N\rangle$ be the subsemigroup generated by those nilpotents. Then $|\langle N\rangle|=11$. $2^{n-2}-2(n+1)$.

Proof. Let $\langle N\rangle$ be the nilpotent generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. From Theorem (1.4) and Remark (2.8) we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\langle N\rangle| & =3 \cdot 2^{n}-2(n+1)-\sum_{r=2}^{n}\binom{n-2}{r-2} \\
& =3 \cdot 2^{n}-2(n+1)-2^{n-2} \\
& =11 \cdot 2^{n-2}-2(n+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Rank of Nilpotent Generated Subsemigroup

If a semigroup $S$ is generated by nilpotent elements, then the cardinality of the smallest subset consisting of only nilpotent elements that generates $S$ is called the nilpotent rank of $S$ (written as nilrank $(S)$ ). The study of nilpotent elements was initiated by Sullivan [13], after which many other authors work on various subemigroups of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$. See for example $[4,5,6]$.

Let $N$ be the set of all nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $\langle N\rangle$ be the subsemigroup generated by those nilpotents. We have seen from Corollary (2.7) that, $\langle N\rangle$ generates only a proper subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. Our aim in this section is to investigate the rank of $\langle N\rangle$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(n, r)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O D P}_{n}:|i m \alpha| \leq r\right\} \quad(1 \leq r \leq n) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

And let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r}=L(n, r) \backslash L(n, r-1) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K_{r}$ is of the form $J_{r} \cup\{0\}$, where $J_{r}$ is the set of all elements of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ whose height is exactly $r$. The product of any two elements in $K_{r}$ say $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is of the form:

$$
\alpha * \beta= \begin{cases}\alpha \beta, & \text { if }|h(\alpha \beta)|=r ; \\ 0, & \text { if }|h(\alpha \beta)|<r\end{cases}
$$

$K_{r}$ is called the Rees quotient semigroup on $L(n, r)$. Also, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(n, r)=\{\alpha \in\langle N\rangle:|i m \alpha| \leq r\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ generated by nilpotent elements of heights less than or equals to $r$. And let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{r}=M(n, r) \backslash M(n, r-1) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Rees quotient semigroup on $M(n, r)$. Observe that $M(n, r) \subseteq$ $L(n, r)$, therefore $W_{r} \subseteq K_{r}$.

Let $X_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ be a finite chain and $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ be a subset of $X_{n}$ with $r$ number of elements $(1<r \leq n)$. Adopting the term used in [3], we shall say that $A$ has $k$-jumps $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ between the elements $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r-1)$, if $a_{i+1}-a_{i}=k+1$. And the sum of all jumps in $A$ is called the total jumps in $A$. Observe that if $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ are consecutive numbers, then $k$ is zero in that case, and for any $r$ in $(1<r \leq n)$, subsets of the form $\{1, \ldots, r-1, n\}$ are subset that has the maximum number of jumps. Therefore, the number $k$ is in the interval $0 \leq k \leq n-r$.

Example 3.1. consider the set $X_{9}=\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$ and let $r=5$, then the subset $\{1,3,4,5,8\}$ has 1 -jump between it's first and second element and 2 -jumps between it's forth and fifth element. While the subsets $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and $\{3,4,5,6,7\}$ has total jumps of zero each.

Before stating the main theorem of the section, we would first partition the set $X_{n}$ using some set theoretic terminology, so as to characterize the elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ according their respective domain sets and image sets.

Let $X_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $A=\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{r}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}<\right.$ $\left.b_{2}<\ldots<b_{r}\right\}$ be any two subsets of $X_{n}$ with same cardinality say $r(1 \leq r \leq n)$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $X_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sim B \quad \text { if } \forall i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\},\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right|=\left|b_{i}-b_{j}\right| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. It is clear from the definition $\sim$ that, if $A \sim B$ then:
(a) $A$ and $B$ must have the same jumps in the same respective positions. And
(b) one can always define an order preserving partial isometry mapping between $A$ and $B$.

We shall denote the equivalent class of a subset $A$ of $X_{n}$ by $[A]$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A]=\left\{B \subseteq X_{n}: B \sim A\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we denote the set of all equivalent classes on $X_{n}$ by $X_{n} / \sim$.
From the definition of $\sim$, Equation (3.6) can be interpreted as
$[A]=\left\{B \subseteq X_{n}: \forall b_{i}, b_{j} \in B\right.$ and $a_{i}, a_{j} \in A(i, j=\{1,2, \ldots r\}),\left|b_{i}-b_{j}\right|$
$\left.=\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right|\right\}$. But $A$ and $B$ are ordered subset of $X_{n}$, therefore, $\left|b_{i}-b_{j}\right|=$ $\left|a_{i}-a_{j}\right| \Longrightarrow b_{i}-b_{j}=a_{i}-a_{j}$. Thus,
$[A]=\left\{B \subseteq X_{n}: \forall b_{i}, b_{j} \in B\right.$ and $\left.a_{i}, a_{j} \in A b_{i}=a_{i}+\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right)\right\}$.
Let $l_{j}=b_{j}-a_{j}(\forall j \in\{1,2, \ldots r\})$. Then, $b_{i}-b_{j}=a_{i}-a_{j} \Longrightarrow b_{i}-a_{i}=$ $b_{j}-a_{j}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}=l_{j} \quad(\forall i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots r\}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $b_{j} \in B \subseteq X_{n}$, then $b_{j} \leq n$, which implies that $l_{j}=b_{j}-a_{j} \leq$ $n-a_{j}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{j} \leq n-a_{j} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $1 \leq b_{1} \Longrightarrow 1-a_{1} \leq b_{1}-a_{1}=l_{1}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-a_{1} \leq l_{1} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Equation (3.10), (3.9) (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-a_{1} \leq l_{j} \leq n-a_{r} . \quad \forall j \in\{1,2, \ldots r\} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $X_{n}$ be a chain and $\sim$ as defined in (3.5) be an equivalence relation on $X_{n}$. Then for any $A \subseteq X_{n}$,
$[A]=\left\{B \subseteq X_{n}: \forall b_{i} \in B ; b_{i}=a_{i}+l, \quad 1-a_{1} \leq l \leq n-a_{r}\right\}(i=\{1,2, \ldots r\})$
Proof. It follows from Equation (3.7) and Equation (3.11)
We shall call a given subset of $X_{n}$, a 1-subset if such subset contains the element 1. Obviously, if an ordered subset contains 1 , then this " 1 " will always be the the first element of the set.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\sim$ as defined in (3.5) be an equivalence relation on $X_{n}$, then in each equivalent class of $\sim$, there exist a unique 1-subset.

Proof. Let $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ with $a_{i}<a_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r)$, be a subset of $X_{n}$. If $A$ is a not a 1 -subset, then $a_{1}$ must be equal to $(1+c)$ for some $c \in\{1,2, \ldots n-1\}$. Define a set $B=\left\{b_{i}: b_{i}=a_{i}-c\right\}$, then clearly $B$ is a 1 -subset and $B \sim A$.

Next, we show that this 1 -subset is unique in $[A]$. Suppose by the way of contradiction, that there exist another set say $D=\left\{1, d_{2}, d_{3}, \ldots d_{r}\right\}$ which is also a 1 -subset in $[A]$. Then, $D \sim B$, implies that $\forall j \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, r\},\left|d_{j}-1\right|=\left|b_{j}-1\right| \Longrightarrow d_{j}-1=a_{j}-1 \Longrightarrow d_{j}=a_{j} \forall j \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, which implies that $D=B$, hence a contradiction. Therefore $B$ is unique in [A].

Remark 3.5. For a given 1-subset, say $A=\left\{1, a_{2}, \ldots a_{r}\right\}$, if $A$ has total jump of zero (that is all the elements of $A$ are consecutive in $X_{n}$ ), then $a_{r}=r$, and if $A$ has total jump of 1 (that is there exist two numbers in $A$ with a missing digit between them), then $a_{r}=r+1$. In general, if a 1 -subset $A$ has a total jump of $m(0 \leq m \leq n-r)$, then it's last element $a_{r}$ would be equals to $r+m$, therefore $A$ can be written as $A=\left\{1, a_{2}, \ldots r+m\right\}$.

The next lemma tells us the number of subsets in each equivalent class of the equivalence relation defined in (3.5).

Lemma 3.6. Let $X_{n}$ be finite chain and $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $X_{n}$. Then the number of subsets in each equivalent class is $n-(r+$ $m)+1$, where $r$ is the cardinality of the subsets and $m$ is the total jump in each class.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq X_{n}$ and $[A]$ be its equivalent class. Suppose without lost of generality we consider $A$ to be the 1 -subset in that class, then by Lemma (3.3) and Remark (3.5),

$$
[A]=\left\{B \subseteq X_{n}: \forall b_{i} \in B ; b_{i}=a_{i}+l, \quad 0 \leq l \leq n-(r+m)\right\}
$$

That is to say all the other elements of $[A]$ will be obtained by translating it with a constant $l(l=\{0,2, \ldots, r\})$ from $A$. Therefore, the other of $[A]$ is $n-(r+m)+1$.

To see what we have been explaining clearly, consider the following example:

Example 3.7. Let $X_{9}=\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$. Below is the list of subsets of $X_{9}$ with cardinality $r=5$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\{1,2,3,4,5\} & \{1,3,4,5,6\} \cdots\{1,2,3,4,6\} \cdots & \{1,2,3,4,9\} \cdots\{1,6,7,8,9\} \\
\{2,3,4,5,6\} & \{2,4,5,6,7\} \cdots\{2,3,4,5,7\} \vdots & \\
\{3,4,5,6,7\} & \{3,5,6,7,8\} \cdots\{3,4,5,6,8\} & \\
\{4,5,6,7,8\} & \{4,6,7,8,9\} \cdots\{4,5,6,7,9\} & \\
\{5,6,7,8,9\} & & \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

In the example above, each column of subsets represents a distinct equivalent class. The subsets in the first column has total jump of zero, while subsets in the second (third, fourth and fifth) up to next column have the total jump of 1 each. Thus, two different equivalent classes of $\sim$ can have the same total jumps. The following lemma will give us the exact number of equivalent classes with the same total jumps.

Lemma 3.8. Let $X_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For a fix $r(1<r \leq n)$ and $m(0 \leq m \leq n-r)$, the number of different equivalent classes with total jump of $m$ and cardinality $r$ is $\binom{r+m-2}{r-2}$.

Proof. Let the 1 -subset $\{1, \ldots, r+m\}$ represents each equivalent class with total jump of $m$. Now, between 1 and $r+m$ we have $r+m-2$ elements in $X_{n}$ and $r-2$ elements in the 1-subset. So, to select a particular 1-subset we only need to select $r-2$ elements from this $r+$ $m-2$. Therefore, the total number of those $1-$ subsets is $\binom{r+m-2}{r-2}$.

Remark 3.9. (a) Note that for $m=0$, we have just 1 (class), while for $m=n-r$ ( which is the maximum number $m$ can reach) we have $\binom{n-2}{r-2}$ number of classes. And that is the number of subsets of the form $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ that characterized elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ that are not in $\langle N\rangle$.
(b) Observe also that, by Lemma (3.6), those subsets $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ are the only elements in their own respective equivalent classes (i. e., the order of their equivalent classes is just one).

Now, take the 1-subset in each equivalent class and fixed it as domain and define an order-preserving partial isometry mapping with the remaining sets in that equivalent class, with the exception of the 1 -subset itself (that is excluding the mapping of the 1 -subset into itself). Then by Lemma (3.6) we will have $n-(r+m)$ number of order-preserving partial isometries in each class. It should be observed that, subsets of the form $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ define no mapping in their own equivalent classes, while in the remaining equivalent classes mappings defined there are all nilpotents.

Let $G$ be the set of all mappings having 1-subset as domain, then we have the following lemma which we need in proving the proposition that follows it.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\alpha \in G$. Then for any $\beta, \gamma \in W_{r}, \alpha=\beta \gamma$ implies that either $\beta$ is in $G$ and $\gamma$ is not, or $\gamma$ is in $G$ and $\beta$ is not.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in G$ and $\beta, \gamma \in W_{r}$ such that $\alpha=\beta \gamma$. By the way of contradiction, suppose that neither $\beta \in G$ nor $\gamma \in G$. Then by our assumption that $\alpha=\beta \gamma$, and $\beta, \gamma \in W_{r}$ (having the same height), we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{dom} \alpha=\operatorname{dom} \beta \\
\operatorname{im} \beta=\operatorname{dom} \gamma  \tag{3.13}\\
\operatorname{im} \gamma=\operatorname{im} \alpha .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now dom $\alpha=\operatorname{dom} \beta$ (which is a 1 -subset) and $\beta$ not in $G$, implies that $\operatorname{dom} \beta=i m \beta$ which also implies from Equation(3.13) that dom $\gamma$ is a 1 -subset. But $\gamma$ also not in $G$, therefore $\operatorname{dom} \gamma=i m \gamma$. And so, we have: $\operatorname{dom} \alpha=\operatorname{dom} \beta=\operatorname{im} \beta=\operatorname{dom} \gamma=\operatorname{im} \gamma=\operatorname{im} \alpha$, which implies that dom $\alpha=\operatorname{im} \alpha$ contradicting our assumption that $\alpha$ is in $G$. Therefore, if $\alpha=\beta \gamma$, then either $\beta$ or $\gamma$ must be in $G$.

Next, we show that both $\beta$ and $\gamma$ cannot be in $G$ at the same time. It is clear that, if both $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are in $G$, then their domain sets must be 1 -subset and their image sets are not. And so, $i m \beta \neq \operatorname{dom} \gamma$, which implies that $\beta \gamma \neq \alpha$.

The next Proposition will give us the minimal generating set for the subsemigroup $W_{r}$, an inverse subsemigroup of $M(n, r)$.

Proposition 3.11. Let $G$ be the set of all mappings having 1-subset as domain. For $n \geq 4$ and $1<r \leq n-1$, the set $G$ is the minimal generating set for $W_{r}$ as an inverse semigroup.

Proof. We first show that $G$ is a generating set for $W_{r}$, we then show that it is indeed the minimal generating set. Let $\alpha$ be defined as in (2.1) be an element of $W_{r}$. Then by Remark (2.8) $\alpha$ must be one of the four types. Now, if $\alpha$ is of type $I$, then by Lemma (3.4), there exists a 1 -subset say $\left\{1, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$ which will be of the same class with $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$ and that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
1 & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)=\alpha
$$

If $\alpha$ is of type $I I$ then $\alpha^{-1}$ is in $G$ and if $\alpha$ is of type $I I I$ then $\alpha$ is in $G$. Now if $\alpha$ is of type $I V$, that is

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\left(a_{r} \neq n\right)$. Then, there exist a subset say $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$ where $c_{i}=$ $a_{i}+1(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$, which is in the same equivalent class with $\left\{1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} \\
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right)=\alpha
$$

Now let $G^{\prime} \subseteq W_{r}$ such that $\left\langle G^{\prime}\right\rangle=W_{r}$ and suppose that there exist some $\alpha^{\prime} \in G$ say

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not in $G^{\prime}$. Then, since $\alpha^{\prime} \in W_{r}$ and $\left\langle G^{\prime}\right\rangle=W_{r}$ there exist some $\beta, \gamma \in G^{\prime}$ such that $\beta \gamma=\alpha^{\prime}$.

Claim: $\{\beta, \gamma\}$ generates no other non-zero element in $W_{r}$ apart from $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof of the claim: Let $\beta, \gamma \in G^{\prime}$ such that $\beta \gamma=\alpha^{\prime}$, by Lemma (3.10) either $\beta$ in $G$ or $\gamma$ in $G$, but not both.
Case I: $\beta \in G$; then $\beta$ and $\gamma$ must be of the form:

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(c_{1} \neq 1\right) .
$$

Therefore, $\gamma \beta=\beta^{-1} \gamma=\beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1}=0$ in $W_{r}$, and $\beta \gamma^{-1}=\alpha^{\prime}$ if $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$, otherwise is 0 , while $\gamma^{-1} \beta^{-1}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.

Case II: $\gamma \in G$; Then $\beta$ and $\gamma$ must be of the form:

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(b_{1} \neq 1\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta \gamma=\beta^{-1} \gamma=\alpha^{\prime} \\
\beta \gamma^{-1}=\beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1}=0=\gamma \beta \\
\gamma^{-1} \beta=\gamma^{-1} \beta^{-1}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

In both cases, $\{\beta, \gamma\}$ generate only $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Therefore, $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq|G|$. Hence, $G$ is the minimal generating set for $W_{r}$.

To find the generating set for $M(n, r)$, we need the following proposition:

Proposition 3.12. For $n \geq 4$, let $J_{r}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}: \mid\right.$ im $\left.\alpha \mid=r\right\}$ be the set of all elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ whose height is exactly $r$ and $N$ be the set of all nilpotents in $\mathcal{O D P}_{n}$. Then $\left\langle J_{r} \cap N\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$ for all $1<r \leq n-3$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\ b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}\end{array}\right)$ be an element of $\left\langle J_{r} \cap N\right\rangle$, essentially we consider two cases (that is when $\alpha$ is nilpotent and when $\alpha$ is idempotent).

Case (I): If $\alpha$ is an idempotent, then $\alpha$ is either of type or type $I V$. Suppose $\alpha$ is of type $I$, let

$$
t=\max \{x: x \in X \backslash \text { dom } \alpha\}
$$

and

$$
s=\max \{x: x \in X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha \text { and } x \neq t\}
$$

then $t \neq 1$ and $s \neq 1$ (since $|X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha| \geq 3$ ).
Now suppose without lost of generality that $t$ is between $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ and $s$ is between $a_{j}$ and $a_{j+1}$. Define $\beta$ and $\gamma$ as

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{j} & a_{j+1} & \cdots & a_{i} & t & a_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{j} & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & t & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{j} & s & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{j} & s & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is clear that both $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are elements of $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$ and $\alpha=\beta \gamma$.
If $\alpha$ is of type $I V$, we let $t=\min \{x: x \in X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha\}$ and $s=$ $\min \{x: x \in X \backslash$ dom $\alpha$ and $x \neq t\}($ clearly $t \neq n$ and $s \neq n)$ then $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are elements of $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$ and $\alpha=\beta \gamma$.

Case (II) If $\alpha$ is a nilpotent: Suppose $a_{i}>b_{i} \forall i$, then by Corollary (2.7) $\alpha$ is either of type $I\left(a_{1} \neq 1\right.$ and $\left.b_{1} \neq 1\right)$, or type $I I\left(a_{1} \neq 1\right.$, $b_{1}=1$ and $b_{r} \neq n$ ). If $\alpha$ is of type $I$, we must have that $a_{1}>b_{1}>1$. Define

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1}-1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1}-1 & b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $b_{r}<a_{r} \leq n$ and $1 \leq b_{1}<a_{1}$. Therefore, $\beta$ is in $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$. Also, define $\gamma$ as

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{i} & t & b_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{i} & t & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $t=\min \{x: x \in X \backslash i m \beta\}$. It is clear that $t \neq n$ (since $|X \backslash i m \beta| \geq 2$ ), therefore, $\gamma$ is also in $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$ and $\alpha=\beta \gamma$.

But if $\alpha$ is of type $I I$, we first consider a case where $\alpha$ has 0 total jump and $a_{r}=n$ (note that $a_{1} \geq 3$ since $\mathrm{h}(\alpha) \leq n-3$ ) and define

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1}-1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & r+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 3 & \ldots & r+1 & r+2 \\
1 & 2 & \ldots & r & r+1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Otherwise we let $t=\max \{x: x \in X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha\}$ and define

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{i} & t & a_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
1 & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{i} & t \beta & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $t \beta=b_{i}-a_{i}+t$. And let $s=\min \{x: x \in X \backslash i m \beta\}$ and define

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
1 & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{j} & s & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r} \\
1 & b_{2} & \cdots & b_{j} & s & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $t \beta=b_{i}-a_{i}+t<t \leq n$. Therefore, $\beta, \gamma \in\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap N\right\rangle$ and $\alpha=\beta \gamma$.

Now suppose $a_{i}<b_{i} \forall i$, then $\alpha$ is either of type $I$ or type $I I I$. If $\alpha$ is of type $I$, then $\alpha^{-1}$ is also of type $I$ with $a_{i}<b_{i}$, and if $\alpha$ is of type $I I I$, then $\alpha^{-1}$ is of type $I I$ with $a_{i}<b_{i}$. In both cases $\alpha=\gamma^{-1} \beta^{-1}$ where $\beta, \gamma$ are as defined in the respective previous cases.

Remark 3.13. Observe that by the above Proposition, for $1<r \leq n-2$ the set $G$ is the minimal generating set for the whole $M(n, r)$ as well. Now, the task of finding the rank of $M(n, r)$ is reduced to just finding the cardinality of the set $G$.

But before then, we cite the following lemma from [1] which we need in proving the theorem.

Lemma 3.14 ([1]). For $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r>s$,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r-s}\binom{r-i}{s}\binom{i+t}{t}=\binom{r+t+1}{s+t+1}
$$

Theorem 3.15. For $n \geq 4$ and $1<r \leq n-2$, the rank of $M(n, r)$ as an inverse semigroup is

$$
\binom{n-1}{r}
$$

Proof. From Lemma (3.8) and paragraph after Remark (3.9) the number of elements of $G$ for any given total jump of $m$ is

$$
(n-(r+m))\binom{r+m-2}{r-2}
$$

Observe that subsets that characterized elements in $G$ have total jumps $m$ ranging from 0 to $n-(r+1)$ (since subsets that have $m=n-r$ are subsets of the form $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ which are not in $G$ ). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |G|=\sum_{m=0}^{n-(r+1)}(n-(r+m))\binom{r+m-2}{r-2} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{(n-r)-1}\binom{(n-r)-m}{1}\binom{m+(r-2)}{r-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma (3.14) we have $|G|=\binom{n-1}{r}$.
Corollary 3.16. The rank of $M(n, n-2)$ is $n-1$.
Proof. Follows directly by substituting for $r=n-2$ in Theorem (3.15) above.

Theorem 3.17. Let $\langle N\rangle$ be the nilpotent generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. Then, the rank of $\langle N\rangle$ as an inverse subsemigroup is $n$.

Proof. Let $\langle N\rangle$ be the nilpotent generated subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D P}_{n}$, from the above corollary, the rank of $M(n, n-2)$ is $n-1$, and elements of $\langle N\rangle$ that are not in $M(n, n-2)$ are those of $\langle N\rangle \cap J_{n-1}$ (since the only element of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ with height $n$ is the identity element which is clearly not in $\langle N\rangle$.

Let

$$
\eta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n-1 \\
2 & 3 & \ldots & n
\end{array}\right)
$$

Garba in [3] have shown that, the only elements of $\langle N\rangle \cap J_{n-1}$ are

$$
\eta, \eta^{-1}, \eta^{-1} \eta, \text { and } \eta \eta^{-1}
$$

which are generated by a single element $\eta$, therefore, the rank of $\langle N\rangle$ is $(n-1)+1$ which is equal to $n$. Hence the proof.

## 4. Rank of Ideals of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$.

We extend the result obtained in section three to compute the rank of ideals of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. Although, Alkharousi [1] investigated the rank of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ among other things and shown that; $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ as an inverse semigroup has rank $n$. We want to generalize this result to find the rank of the ideal $L(n, r)$ defined in Equation (3.1). To do that, we first study elements of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ that are neither nilpotents nor can be expressed as product of nilpotents (i. e., elements of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ that are not in $\langle N\rangle$ ). We have seen from Remark (2.8), these elements are partial identities of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \ldots & n \\
1 & \ldots & n
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is clear that, multiplying any two element of this form will yield another element of the same form, as such we have the following lemma:
Lemma 4.1. Let $E_{N}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O D P}_{n}: \alpha\right.$ is not in $\left.\langle N\rangle\right\}$, then $E_{N}$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$.

Now, for $(1<r \leq n)$, let $D(n, r)=\left\{\alpha \in E_{N}:|i m \alpha| \leq r\right\}$ and $Q_{r}=D(n, r) \backslash D(n, r-1)$ be the subsemigroup of $K_{r}$ generated by the elements of $E_{N}$, then we have the following:
Lemma 4.2. Let $K_{r}$ be the Rees quotient semigroup on $L(n, r)$, and let $W_{r}$ and $Q_{r}$ be subsemigroups of $K_{r}$ generated by nilpotent elements and elements of $E_{N}$ respectively. Then $K_{r}$ is a disjoint union of $W_{r}$ and $Q_{r}$.

Proof. It follows from Remark (2.8) and the fact that $W_{r}$ and $Q_{r}$ are complement to each other.

Suppose we extend the set $G$ constructed in section three (i. e., the set of all nilpotent elements in $W_{r}$ whose domain is a 1-subset) to another set $Z=G \cup Q_{r}$, (i. e., by adding elements of $Q_{r}$ ) then we have:
Lemma 4.3. Let $\alpha$ be in $Q_{r}$ then for any $\beta, \gamma \in K_{r}, \alpha=\beta \gamma$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta=\gamma$
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be in $Q_{r}$ such that $\alpha=\beta \gamma$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in K_{r}$, since $h(\alpha)=h(\beta \gamma)$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dom} \alpha & =\operatorname{dom} \beta \\
\operatorname{im} \beta & =\operatorname{dom} \gamma  \tag{4.1}\\
\operatorname{im} \gamma & =\operatorname{im} \alpha .
\end{align*}
$$

From the above equations, and the fact that $\alpha \in Q_{r}$, we have $\{1, n\} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom}(\beta)$, which implies from Lemma (1.3) that $\operatorname{dom} \beta=i m \beta$. Also, $\{1, n\} \subseteq i m \gamma$ implies that $i m \gamma=\operatorname{dom} \gamma$. Therefore, dom $\alpha=$ $\operatorname{dom} \beta=\operatorname{im} \beta=\operatorname{dom} \gamma=\operatorname{im} \gamma=\operatorname{im} \alpha$, which implies that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma$.

Conversely suppose that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma$, then, since $\alpha$ is an idempotent; $\beta \gamma=\alpha \alpha=\alpha^{2} \alpha$.

Next, we have a proposition analogue to Propostion(3.11)
Proposition 4.4. For $n \geq 4$ and $(1<r \leq n-1)$, let $Z$ be the union of $Q_{r}$ the set of all nilpotents in $K_{r}$ whose domain is a 1-subset $\left(Q_{r} \cup G\right)$ then $Z$ is a minimal generating set for $K_{r}$ as an inverse semigroup.

Proof. We first show that the set $Z$ is a generating set for $K_{r}$; Let $\alpha$ be in $K_{r}$, by Lemma (4.2) $\alpha$ is either in $W_{r}$ or in $Q_{r}$. If $\alpha$ is in $W_{r}$, then by Proposition (3.11), $\alpha$ is generated by elements of $G \subseteq Z$, and if $\alpha$ is in $Q_{r}$, then $\alpha$ is in $Z$

For the minimality of $Z$; we show that if $Z^{\prime}$ is any other generating set for $K_{r}$, then $\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \geq|Z|$. Now let $Z^{\prime} \subseteq K_{r}$ such that $\left\langle Z^{\prime}\right\rangle=K_{r}$, suppose that there exist say $\delta \in Z$ such that $\delta$ is not in $Z^{\prime}$, then $\delta$ must come from $W_{r}$. For if $\delta$ is in $Q_{r}$, then by Lemma (4.3) we cannot find any other elements in $K_{r} \supseteq Z^{\prime}$ that can generate $\delta$, contradicting our assumption that $Z^{\prime}$ generates $K_{r}$. Now $\delta \notin Z^{\prime}$ and $\left\langle Z^{\prime}\right\rangle=K_{r}$ imply that $\delta=\eta \theta$ for some $\eta, \theta \in Z^{\prime}$.

Claim: $\{\eta, \theta\}$ generates no other non-zero element in $K_{r}$ apart from $\delta$ and $\delta^{-1}$.

Proof of the claim: Let $\eta, \theta \in Z^{\prime}$ such that $\eta \theta=\delta$. Since $\delta, \eta$ and $\theta$ are all in $K_{r}$, we must have dom $\delta=d o m \eta$ and that implies that $\eta$ cannot be in $Q_{r}$ (since $\{1, n\} \nsubseteq d o m \eta$ ). Also, (for the same reason) $i m \delta=i m \theta$ which implies that $\theta$ is not in $Q_{r}$ (since $\left.\{1, n\} \nsubseteq \operatorname{im} \theta\right)$. Therefore, $\eta$ and $\theta$ must be elements of $W_{r}$. Thus $\delta, \eta$ and $\theta$ are all elements of $W_{r}$, hence, the result follows from Proposition (3.11).

Next, we give an extension of Proposition (3.12) - where we will see that, the set $Z$ constructed above generates not only $K_{r}$ but also $L(n, r)$; for $r \leq n-1$.

Proposition 4.5. For $n \geq 4$ and $r \leq n-2$, let $J_{r}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}\right.$ : $|i m \alpha|=r\}$ be the set of all elements of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ whose height is exactly $r$. Then $\left\langle J_{r} \cap \mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Let

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be an element of $\left\langle J_{r-1} \cap \mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}\right\rangle$, then $|X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha| \geq 2$. Now let $t=$ $\max \{x: x \in X \backslash d o m \alpha\}$ and $s=\min \{x: x \in X \backslash$ dom $\alpha\}$, suppose without lost of generality that $t$ is between $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ and $s$ is between $a_{j}$ and $a_{j+1}$. Define $\beta$ and $\gamma$ as

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{j} & a_{j+1} & \cdots & a_{i} & t & a_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{j} & a_{j+1} & \cdots & a_{i} & t & a_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{j} & s & a_{j+1} & \cdots & a_{i} & a_{i+1} & \ldots & a_{r} \\
b_{1} & b_{2} & \ldots & b_{j} & s \gamma & b_{j+1} & \cdots & b_{i} & b_{i+1} & \ldots & b_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
s \gamma= \begin{cases}b_{j}-a_{j}+s, & \text { if } s>a_{1} ; \\ b_{j+1}-a_{j+1}+s, & \text { if } s<a_{1}\end{cases}
$$

then $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are elements of $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\beta \gamma=\alpha$. Hence $\alpha$ is in $\left\langle J_{r+1} \cap \mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$.

Observe here also that, the task of finding the rank of $L(n, r)$ is reduced just to finding the cardinality of the set $Z$

Theorem 4.6. For $n \geq 4$ and $1<r \leq n-1$, the rank of $L(n, r)$ as an inverse semigroup is

$$
\binom{n-1}{r}+\binom{n-2}{r-2} .
$$

Proof. Since $Z$ is a disjoint union of $G$ and $Q_{r}$, it follows from Theorem (3.15) and the fact that cardinality of $Q_{r}$ is $\binom{n-2}{r-2}$. Hence

$$
|Z|=\binom{n-1}{r}+\binom{n-2}{r-2} .
$$

Corollary 4.7. [3, Theorem 3.2(a)] Let $1_{X_{n}}$ be an identity element of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. Then the rank of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n} \backslash\left\{1_{X_{n}}\right\}$ as an inverse semigroup is $n-1$.

Proof. Since the only element of $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ height $n$ is the identity element $\left\{1_{X_{n}}\right\}$, therefore, $\mathcal{O D} \mathcal{P}_{n} \backslash\left\{1_{X_{n}}\right\}=L(n, n-1)$, and computing the rank of $L(n, n-1)$ from Theorem (4.6) we obtain $n-1$.

Corollary 4.8. [3, Theorem 3.2(b)] The rank of $\mathcal{O D P}{ }_{n}$ as an inverse semigroup is $n$.

Proof. Follows from Corollary (4.7) above.
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