ON SPECIAL AMALGAMS AND CLOSED VARIETIES OF POSEMIGROUPS

S. A. AHANGER, S. BANO*, AND A. H. SHAH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend a result of Scheiblich by showing that variety of po-normal bands is closed. We also extend the well known results to posemigroups namely, that pogroups and inverse posemigroups have special amalgamation property in the category of all posemigroups and commutative posemigroups, respectively. Finally, we find some varieties of posemigroups which are closed if they are self convex.

1. Introduction and Summary

In [9], Scheiblich had shown that the variety of normal bands was closed. Therefore, the special semigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$ is embeddable, where U and S are any normal bands. In section 3, we extend this result to the variety of po-normal bands. In [7], Howie and Isbell had shown that inverse semigroups were absolutely closed in the category of semigroups. In this section, we partially generalize this result by showing that the special posemigroup amalgam $\{U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}\}$ is poembeddable in the category of commutative posemigroups, where U is any inverse posemigroup. Further, we show that the special posemigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$ is poembeddable in the category of all posemigroups, where U is any pogroup, i.e., pogroups have special amalgamation property in the category of all posemigroups.

MSC(2010): Primary: 06F05; Secondary: 20M07, 20M10.

Keywords: Posemigroup, Dominion, Zigzag Inequalities, Permutative, Variety.

Received: 10 August 2021, Accepted: 29 December 2021.

^{*}Sakeena Bano.

In [6], Higgins has shown that the variety of right normal bands was not absolutely closed, hence, so is the case with variety of po-right normal bands. So, it is of worth interest to find the subvarieties of the variety of all posemigroups in which the variety of po-left [po-right] normal bands is closed. In section 4, we show some varieties, which contain the variety of po-left [po-right] normal bands, are closed.

2. Preliminaries

A partially ordered semigroup, briefly posemigroup, is a pair (S, \leq) comprising a semigroup S and a partial order \leq on S that is compatible with its binary operation, i.e. for all $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in S$ ($s_1 \leq t_1$ and $s_2 \leq t_2$) $\Longrightarrow s_1s_2 \leq t_1t_2$. If S is a monoid, then we call (S, \leq) a partially ordered monoid, in short, a pomonoid. We call (U, \leq_U) a subposemigroup of a posemigroup (S, \leq_S) if U is a subsemigroup of the semigroup S and $\leq_U = \leq_S \cap (U \times U)$. The corresponding notion of subpomonoid is defined analogously. In whatever follows we shall denote a posemigroup (S, \leq) simply by S if there is no confusion about the order relation. A posemigroup morphism $f: S \to T$, where S and T are posemigroups, is a monotone map (i.e., $x \leq y \Longrightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$) that is also a morphism of the underlying semigroups.

In this article, we shall always treat a posemigroup (S, \leq) as a semi-group by simply disregarding the order. In the later case we shall denote it by S. Let \mathcal{A} be a class of posemigroups. Then by \mathcal{A}' , we shall denote the class of semigroups obtained by disregarding the order in \mathcal{A} (that is $\mathcal{A}' = \{S : (S, \leq) \in \mathcal{A}\}$).

Let S and T be posemigroups and $f: S \to T$ be any posemigroup morphism. Then f is said be an *epimorphism* (*epi*, *for short*) if for any posemigroup W and any posemigroup morphisms α , $\beta: T \to W$, $\alpha f = \beta f$ implies $\alpha = \beta$. One can easily observe that the forgetful functor from the category of all pomonoids to the category of all monoids preserves epis.

Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S and $d \in S$. We say that U dominates d if for all posemigroup morphisms α , $\beta: S \to T$, whenever $\alpha(u) = \beta(u)$ for all $u \in U$ then $\alpha(d) = \beta(d)$. The set of all elements of S that are dominated by U is called the posemigroup dominion of U in S and is denoted by $\widehat{Dom}(U,S)$. One can easily verify that $\widehat{Dom}(U,S)$ is a subposemigroup of S containing S. Let S if S if S is a subposemigroup S. We say S is closed in S if S if S if S is a subposemigroup of S containing S if S is a subposemigroup S. We say S is closed in S if S if S if S is a subposemigroup S is said to be absolutely closed if it is closed in every containing posemigroup. A posemigroup S is said to be saturated if S if S for every properly containing posemigroup

S. A variety \mathcal{V} of posemigroups is absolutely closed [saturated] if each member of \mathcal{V} is absolutely closed [saturated].

A class of posemigroups is called a variety of posemigroups if it is closed under cartesian products endowed with component-wise binary operation and order, morphic images and subposemigroups. It is also possible to describe posemigroup varieties alternatively with the help of inequalities using Birkhoff type characterization; we refer the readers to [4] for all such details.

A variety \mathcal{V} of posemigroups is closed if for any posemigroups $U, S \in \mathcal{V}$ with U as a subposemigroup of S, $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = U$. Let U and S be two posemigroups such that U is a subposemigroup of S. Then U is said to be convex in S if for any $u, v \in U$ and $s \in S$ with $u \leq s \leq v$, implies $s \in U$ and we say posemigroup U is convex if it is convex in every containing posemigroup. A variety \mathcal{V} of posemigroups is said to be \mathcal{V} -convex if for any posemigroups $U, S \in \mathcal{V}$, U is convex in S.

The following characterization of posemigroup dominion is provided by Sohail and Tart called the Zigzag Theorem for posemigeroups which is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. ([10], Theorem 5) Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S. Then $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U, S)$ if and only if $d \in U$ or

$$d \leq x_{1}u_{0}, \qquad u_{0} \leq u_{1}y_{1}$$

$$x_{i}u_{2i-1} \leq x_{i+1}u_{2i}, \qquad u_{2i}y_{i} \leq u_{2i+1}y_{i+1} \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1) \ (2.1)$$

$$x_{m}u_{2m-1} \leq u_{2m}, \qquad u_{2m}y_{m} \leq d; \ and$$

$$v_{0} \leq s_{1}v_{1}, \qquad d \leq v_{0}t_{1}$$

$$s_{j}v_{2j} \leq s_{j+1}v_{2j+1}, \quad v_{2j-1}t_{j} \leq v_{2j}t_{j+1} \ (j = 1, 2, \dots, m'-1) \ (2.2)$$

$$s_{m'}v_{2m'} \leq d, \qquad v_{2m'-1}t_{m'} \leq v_{2m'};$$

$$where \ u_{0}, v_{0}, \dots, u_{2m}, v_{2m'} \in U, x_{1}, y_{1}, \dots, x_{m}, y_{m}, s_{1}, t_{1}, \dots, s_{m'}, t_{m'} \in S.$$

The above inequalities are called zigzag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m, m'). We say that the above zigzag inequalities are of minimal length (m, m') if m and m' are the smallest positive integers. The zigzag inequalities (2.1) give:

$$d \le x_1 u_0 \le x_1 u_1 y_1 \le x_2 u_2 y_1 \le \dots \le x_m u_{2m-1} y_m \le u_{2m} y_m \le d.$$
Therefore
$$d = x_1 u_0 = x_1 u_1 y_1 = x_2 u_2 y_1 = \dots = x_m u_{2m-1} y_m = u_{2m} y_m = d. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly the zigzag inequalities (2.2) give:

$$d \le v_0 t_1 \le s_1 v_1 t_1 \le s_1 v_2 t_2 \le \dots \le s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} t_{m'} \le s_{m'} v_{2m'} \le d.$$

Thus

$$d = v_0 t_1 = s_1 v_1 t_1 = s_1 v_2 t_2 = \dots = s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} t_{m'} = s_{m'} v_{2m'} = d. \quad (2.4)$$

The next theorems are very instrumental in our investigations.

Theorem 2.2. ([1], Lemma 3.2) Let $d \in Dom(U, S) \setminus U$ and let (2.1) and (2.2) be zigzag inequalities for d of minimal length (m, m'). Then $x_i, y_i, s_j, t_j \in S \setminus U$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., m, j = 1, 2, ..., m'.

Theorem 2.3. ([1], Lemma 3.3) Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S such that $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = S$. Then, for any $d \in S \setminus U$ and for any positive integers k and k', there exist $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k'} \in U$ and $d', d'' \in S \setminus U$ such that $d = u_1u_2 \cdots u_kd' = d''v_{k'}v_{k'-1} \cdots v_2v_1$.

Theorem 2.4. ([1], Lemma 2.1) Let U be subposemigroup of a posemi-group S. Then $\widehat{Dom}(Z(U), S)$ is central in U.

The bracketed statements wherever used shall mean the dual to the other statements.

3. Poembeddable Posemigroup Amalgams

A posemigroup S is said to be *po-band* if $x^2 = x$ for all $x \in S$; i.e., every element of S is an idempotent. A po-band is said to be a *po-normal band* if it satisfies the identity xyzx = xzyx.

A posemigroup amalgam $\mathcal{A} = [U; S_i, \phi_i]$ consists of a posemigroup U, called the *core*, a family $\{S_i : i \in I\}$ of posemigroups and a family $\{\phi_i : i \in I\}$ of order embeddings, $\phi_i : U \to S_i$. The posemigroup amalgam $\mathcal{A} = [U; S_i, \phi_i]$ is said to be weakly embeddable [poembeddable] in a posemigroup T if there exist posemigroup monomorphisms [orderembeddings] $\psi_i : S_i \to T$ such that for all $i \neq j$ in I, $\psi_i \phi_i = \psi_j \phi_j$. If in addition $\psi_i(S_i) \cap \psi_j(S_j) = \psi_i \phi_i(U)$, the amalgam is embeddable [poembeddable] in T. Hence it can easily be deduced that

$$poembeddable \Longrightarrow embeddable$$

$$\Downarrow \qquad \qquad \Downarrow$$

 $weakly\ poembeddable \Longrightarrow weakly\ embeddable.$

A posemigroup amalgam $[U; S, S'; i, \pi_U]$ consisting of a posemigroup S, a subposemigroup U of S, an order isomorphism $\pi: S \to S'$ and the inclusion $i: U \to S$ is called a *special posemigroup amalgam*.

Theorem 3.1. [[8], Theorem 8.3.2] Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S and let $\pi: S \to S'$ be an order isomorphism. Then $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = \Theta_1^{-1}(\Theta_1(S) \cap \Theta_2(S'))$, where $\Theta_1: S \to S *_U S'$ and $\Theta_2: S' \to S *_U S'$ are posemigroup order embeddings.

Therefore one can easily verify from Theorem 3.1 the following:

Corollary 3.2. Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S. Then U is closed in S if and only if the special posemigroup amalgam, $[U; S, S'; i, \pi_U]$ is poembeddable.

In the next theorem, we show that the special posemigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$, where U and S are po-normal bands such that U is a sub po-band of S is poembeddable. To prove our theorem, we first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let U and S be po-normal bands such that U be a sub po-band of S. Let $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U,S) \setminus U$ and let (2.1) and (2.2) be zizag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m,m'). Then for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, d \ge x_k u_{2k-1} y_k (\prod_{i=1}^k u_{2i-1}) u_0$.

Proof. We use induction on k. For k = 1, we have

$$d = x_1 u_1 y_1$$
 (by zigzg equations (2.3))
 $= x_1 u_1 y_1 u_1 y_1$ (as S is a po-band)
 $\geq x_1 u_1 y_1 u_0$ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))
 $= x_1 u_1 u_1 y_1 u_0$ (as U is a po-band)
 $= x_1 u_1 y_1 (\prod_{i=1}^{1} u_i) u_0$ (as S is a po-normal band).

Therefore the result holds for k = 1. Assume inductively that the result holds for k = l < m. We show the that the result holds for k = l + 1. Now

$$d \geq x_{l}u_{2l-1}y_{l}(\prod_{i=1}^{l}u_{2i-1})u_{0} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis)}$$

$$= x_{l+1}u_{2l+1}y_{l+1}(\prod_{i=1}^{l}u_{2i-1})u_{0} \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.3))}$$

$$= x_{l+1}u_{2l+1}u_{2l+1}y_{l+1}(\prod_{i=1}^{l}u_{2i-1})u_{0} \text{ (as } U \text{ is a po-band)}$$

$$= x_{l+1}u_{2l+1}y_{l+1}(\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} u_{2i-1})u_0$$
 (as S is a po-normal band).

Thus the result holds for k = l + 1, as required.

Lemma 3.4. Let U and S be po-normal bands such that U is a sub po-band of S. Let $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U,S) \setminus U$ and let (2.1) and (2.2) be zizag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m,m'). Then for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, d \leq u_{2m}(\prod_{i=1}^k u_{2i-1})x_ku_{2k-1}y_k$.

Proof. The proof follows on the similar lines as the proof of the Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.5. The variety of po-normal bands is closed.

Proof. Let U and S be any two po-normal bands with U as a subpoband of S. We show that $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = U$. Assume on contrary and take any $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U,S) \setminus U$. Let (2.1) and (2.2) be the zigzag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m,m'). Now

$$d \geq x_m u_{2m-1} y_m (\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}) u_0 \text{ (by Lemma 3.3)}$$

$$= u_{2m} y_m (\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}) u_0 \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.3))}$$

$$= u_{2m} u_{2m-1} y_m (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{2i-1}) u_0 \text{ (as } S \text{ is a po-normal band)}$$

$$\geq (\prod_{i=0}^1 u_{2m-2i}) y_{m-1} (\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{2i-1}) u_0 \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}.$$

Proceeding in this way, we get

$$d \geq (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2m-2i}) y_1 (\prod_{i=1}^{1} u_{2i-1}) u_0$$

$$= (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2m-2i}) u_1 y_1 u_0 \text{ (as } S \text{ is a po-normal band)}$$

$$\geq (\prod_{i=0}^{m} u_{2m-2i}) u_0 \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m} u_{2m-2i} \text{ (as } U \text{ is a band)}.$$

Now on similar lines, by Lemma 3.4 and zigzag inequalities (2.1), we obtain $d \leq \prod_{i=0}^m u_{2m-2i}$. Thus $d = \prod_{i=0}^m u_{2m-2i} \in U$, a contradiction, as required.

The next corollary follows from Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. Let U and S be po-norml bands such that U is a subpoband of S. Then the special posemigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$ is poembeddable.

Let S be a semigroup and $x \in S$. Then $x^{-1} \in S$ is said to be an inverse of x if $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. A semigroup S is said to be regular if every element of S has an inverse and an inverse semigroup if every element of S has a unique inverse. A posemigroup S is said to be regular [inverse] posemigroup if it is such as a semigroup. One can easily verify that a regular semigroup is an inverse semigroup if and only if its idempotents commute.

In [2], Al Subaiei had shown that the pogroups were absolutely closed. In the next theorem we extend this result by proving the following.

Theorem 3.7. Let U be any regular posemigroup and S be any posemigroup containing U as a subposemigroup. If

- (a) idempotents of U are central in U;
- (b) any $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U, S) \setminus U$ has zigzag inequalities of the type (2.1) and (2.2);
- (c) for any idempotents e and f in U, $x_i u_{2i-1} y_i e = x_i u_{2i-1} e y_i$ and $f x_i u_{2i-1} y_i = x_i f u_{2i-1} y_i$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., m,

then $d \in U$.

Proof. For all k = 1, 2, ..., m, we show that

$$d = x_k u_{2k-1} y_k \left(\prod_{i=1}^k u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

To prove (3.1), we use induction on k. For k=1, we have

 $d = x_1 u_1 y_1$ (by zigzag equations (2.3))

 $= x_1 u_1 u_1^{-1} u_1 y_1$ (as U is an inverse posemigroup)

$$= x_1 u_1 y_1 (\prod_{i=1}^{1} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1})$$
 (as $u_1^{-1} u_1$ is an idempotent of U).

Therefore the result holds for k = 1. Assume inductively that the result holds for k = l < m. We show that the result also holds for k = l + 1. Now

$$\begin{split} d &= x_l u_{2l-1} y_l (\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) \text{ (by inductive hypothesis)} \\ &= x_{l+1} u_{2l+1} y_{l+1} (\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.3))} \\ &= x_{l+1} u_{2l+1} u_{2l+1}^{-1} u_{2l+1} y_{l+1} (\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) \text{ (as } U \text{ is an inverse posemigroup)} \\ &= x_{l+1} u_{2l+1} y_{l+1} u_{2l+1}^{-1} u_{2l+1} (\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) \text{ (as } u_{2l+1}^{-1} u_{2l+1} \text{ is an idempotent)} \\ &= x_{l+1} u_{2l+1} y_{l+1} (\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) \text{ (as idempotents commute in } U). \end{split}$$

Thus the result also holds for k = l + 1, as required. Since the idempotents are central in U, therefore by Theorem 2.4, they are central in $\widehat{Dom}(U, S)$; i.e.,

de = ed for all $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U, S)$ and for any idempotent e of U. (3.2) Now, we have

$$d = x_m u_{2m-1} y_m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right) \text{ (by equation (3.1))}$$

$$= u_{2m} y_m \left(\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right) \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.3))}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right) u_{2m} y_m \text{ (by equations (3.2) as } \left(\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right)$$
is an idempotent and $d = u_{2m} y_m$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right) u_{2m} u_{2m-1}^{-1} u_{2m-1} y_m \text{ (as } u_{2m-1}^{-1} u_{2m-1} \text{ is an idempotent}$$
and idempotents are central in U)
$$\geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1} \right) u_{2m} u_{2m-1}^{-1} u_{2m-2} y_{m-1} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}\right) u_{2m} u_{2m-1}^{-1} u_{2m-2} u_{2m-3}^{-1} u_{2m-3} y_{m-1} \text{ (as } u_{2m-3}^{-1} u_{2m-3} \text{ is an}$$

idempotent and idempotents are central in U)

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m-2} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1}) (\prod_{i=0}^{1} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_{2m-3} y_{m-1}.$$

Proceeding like wise, we obtain

$$\begin{split} d &\geq (\prod_{i=1}^{1} u_{2i-1}^{-1} u_{2i-1})(\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_{3} y_{2} \\ &\geq u_{1}^{-1} u_{1}(\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_{2} y_{1} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))} \\ &= (\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_{2} u_{1}^{-1} u_{1} y_{1} \text{ (as } u_{1}^{-1} u_{1} \text{ is an idempotent and idempotents are central in } U) \\ &\geq (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_{0} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}. \end{split}$$

By a similar token, we obtain $d \leq (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_0$ and hence

$$d = (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2m-2i} u_{2m-2i-1}^{-1}) u_0 \in U,$$

as required.

The next corollaries easily follows from the Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. Let U be an inverse posemigroup and S be any posemigroup with U as a subposemigroup such that the idempotents of U are central in S. Then U is closed in S.

Corollary 3.9. Inverse posemigroups are absolutely closed in the category of all commutative posemigroups.

Theorem 3.10. Pogroups are absolutely closed in the category of all posemigroups.

Proof. Let G be any pogroup and S be any posemigroup containing G as a subposemigroup. Let e be the identity of G. Then e is the only idempotent of G and clearly e is central in G. Take any $d \in$

 $\widehat{Dom}(G,S)\setminus G$ and let (2.1) and (2.2) be the zigzag inequalities with value d. Then the zigzag equation (2.3) gives

$$de = x_1u_0e = d$$
 and $ed = eu_{2m}y_m = d$.

Now, one can easily verify that

$$x_i u_{2i-1} y_i e = x_i u_{2i-1} e y_i = x_i e u_{2i-1} y_i = e x_i u_{2i-1} y_i$$
 for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Thus, by Theorem 3.7, G is closed in S and hence pogroups are absolutely closed in the category of all posemigroups.

The next corollary follows from Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 3.11. Let U be a subpogroup of a posemigroup S. Then the special posemigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$ is poembeddable.

The next corollary follows from the Corollary 3.2 and the Corollary 3.8.

Corollary 3.12. Let U be a subposemigroup of a posemigroup S such that U is an inverse posemigroup. The special posemigroup amalgam $[U; \{S, S'\}; \{i, \alpha|_U\}]$ is poembeddable in the category of all commutative posemigroups.

4. Closed Varieties of Posemigroups

A po-band is said to be a po-left [po-right] normal band if it satisfies the identity xyz = xzy [xyz = yxz].

In this section, we show that the varieties $V_1 = [xyz = xxzy]$ and $U_1 = [xyz = xzyy]$ of posemigroups are closed if they are V_1 -convex and U_1 -convex, respectively. In particular, it will imply that the variety of po-left normal bands is closed in both the above mentioned varieties.

Theorem 4.1. The variety V_1 of posemigroups satisfying the identity xyz = xxzy is closed if it is V_1 -convex.

Proof. Let $U, S \in \mathcal{V}_1$ such that U is convex subposemigroup of S. We show that $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = U$. Assume on contrary and take any $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U,S) \setminus U$. Let (2.1) and (2.2) be the zigzag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m,m'). In order to prove the theorem, we first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. For all j = 1, 2, ..., m',

$$d = (\prod_{i=1}^{j} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_j v_{2j-1} t_j.$$

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in S$, we have

$$xyz = xxzy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= xxyxz \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= xxyyzx \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= xxyyz \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= xxyyzx \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= xxyyxyz \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1). \tag{4.1}$$

Now, to prove the lemma, we use induction on j. For j = 1, we have

$$d = s_1 v_1 t_1$$
 (by zigzag equations (2.4)
= $s_1 s_1 v_1 v_1 s_1 v_1 t_1$ (by equation (4.1)).

Therefore the result holds for j = 1. Assume inductively that the result is true for j = l < m. We now show that the result also holds for j = l + 1. Now

$$d = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} s_{i} s_{i} v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{l} v_{2l-1} t_{l} \text{ (by inductive hypothesis)}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{l} s_{i} s_{i} v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{l+1} v_{2l+1} t_{l+1} \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.4))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{l} s_{i} s_{i} v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{l+1} s_{l+1} v_{2l+1} v_{2l+1} s_{l+1} v_{2l+1} t_{l+1}$$

$$\text{(by equation (4.1))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} s_{i} s_{i} v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{l+1} v_{2l+1} t_{l+1}.$$

Therefore the result also holds for j = l + 1, as required.

Lemma 4.3. For all k = 1, 2, ..., m,

$$d = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{(k-i)+1} x_{(k-i)+1} u_{2(k-i)+1} u_{2(k-i)+1}\right) x_1 u_1 y_1.$$

Proof. It follows on similar lines as the proof of the Lemma 4.2, by using the zigzag equations (2.3).

We now return to the proof of the theorem and let j = m' in Lemma 4.2, we get

$$d = (\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} t_{m'}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'} v_{2m'} \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.4))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'} s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'-1} s_{m'} v_{2m'}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'} s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$\geq (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_{m'-1} v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'}$$

$$\text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.2))}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\geq (\prod_{i=1}^{2} s_i s_i v_{2i-1} v_{2i-1}) s_2 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'}$$

$$= s_1 s_1 v_1 v_1 s_2 s_2 v_3 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= s_1 s_1 v_1 v_1 s_2 v_3 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= s_1 s_1 v_1 v_1 s_2 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= s_1 s_1 v_1 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$= s_1 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{V}_1)$$

$$\geq v_0 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.2))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} v_{2i} v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.2))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} v_{2i} v_3 v_4 \cdots v_{2m'-2} v_{2m'-1} v_{2m'} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.2))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{m'-1} v_{2i} v_2 v_{2i+1}) v_{2m'}.$$

Now on similar lines, by using the Lemma 4.3 and the zigzag inequalities (2.1), we obtain $d \leq (\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2(m-i)} u_{2(m-i)-1}) u_0$. Therefore $d \in U$, as U is convex in S, a contradiction as required.

Dually we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. The variety V_2 of posemigroups satisfying the identity xyz = yxzz is closed if it is V_2 -convex.

From Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we have the following immediate corollaries.

Corollary 4.5. The variety of po-left [po-right] normal bands is closed in the variety V_1 [V_2] of posemigroups if it is V_1 -convex [V_2 -convex].

Corollary 4.6. The variety $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ of posemigroups is closed.

Theorem 4.7. The variety U_1 of posemigroups satisfying the identity xyz = xzyy is closed if it is U_1 -convex.

Proof. Let $U, S \in \mathcal{U}_1$ such that U is convex subposemigroup of S. We show that $\widehat{Dom}(U,S) = U$. Assume on contrary and take any $d \in \widehat{Dom}(U,S) \setminus U$. Let (2.1) and (2.2) be the zigzag inequalities in S over U with value d of length (m,m'). In order to prove the theorem, we first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. For all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $d = x_k u_{2k-1} y_k (\prod_{i=1}^k u_{2(k-i)+1} u_{2(k-i)+1})$.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in S$, we have

$$xyz = xzyy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$= xyyzz \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$= xyzzyy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$= xzyyy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$= xyyzyzy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$= xyzyy \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1). \tag{4.2}$$

To prove the lemma we use induction on k. For k = 1, we have

$$d = x_1 u_1 y_1$$
 (by zigzag equations (2.3)
= $x_1 u_1 y_1 u_1 u_1$ (by equation (4.2)).

Therefore the result holds for k = 1. Assume inductively that the result is true for k = l < m. We now show that the result also holds for k = l + 1. Now

$$d = x_l u_{2l-1} y_l \left(\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2(l-i)+1} u_{2(l-i)+1} \right) \text{ (by inductive hypothesis)}$$

$$= x_{l+1} u_{2l+1} y_{l+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l u_{2(l-i)+1} u_{2(l-i)+1} \right) \text{ (by zigzag equations (2.3))}$$

$$= x_{l+1}u_{2l+1}y_{l+1}u_{2l+1}u_{2l+1}(\prod_{i=1}^{l} u_{2(l-i)+1}u_{2(l-i)+1}) \text{ (by equation (4.2))}$$

$$= x_{l+1}u_{2l+1}y_{l+1}(\prod_{i=1}^{l+1} u_{2(l-i)+1}u_{2(l-i)+1}).$$

Therefore the result also holds for k = l + 1, as required.

Lemma 4.9. For all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$d = x_1 u_1 y_1 (\prod_{i=1}^{j} u_{2i-1} u_{2i-1}).$$

Proof. It follows on similar lines as the proof of the Lemma 4.8 and using the zigzag equations (2.3).

We now return to the proof of the theorem and let k = m in Lemma 4.8, we get

$$d = x_m u_{2m-1} y_m (\prod_{i=1}^m u_{2(m-i)+1} u_{2(m-i)+1})$$

$$= u_{2m} y_m u_{2m-1} u_{2m-1} (\prod_{i=2}^m u_{2(m-i)+1} u_{2(m-i)+1})$$
(by zigzag equations (2.3))
$$= u_{2m} u_{2m-1} y_m (\prod_{i=2}^m u_{2(m-i)+1} u_{2(m-i)+1}) \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1))$$

$$\geq u_{2m} u_{2m-2} y_{m-1} (\prod_{i=2}^m u_{2(m-i)+1} u_{2(m-i)+1}) \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=0}^1 u_{2(m-i)}) y_{m-1} u_{2m-3} u_{2m-3} (\prod_{i=3}^m u_{2(m-i)+1} u_{2(m-i)+1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= (\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2(m-i)}) y_2 u_3 u_3 u_1 u_1$$

$$= (\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2(m-i)}) u_3 y_2 u_1 u_1 \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$\geq (\prod_{i=0}^{m-2} u_{2(m-i)}) u_2 y_1 u_1 u_1 \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} u_{2(m-i)}\right) u_1 y_1 \text{ (as } S \in \mathcal{U}_1)$$

$$\geq \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m} u_{2(m-i)} \text{ (by zigzag inequalities (2.1))}\right).$$

Now on similar lines by using the Lemma 4.9 and the reverse zigzag inequalities (2.1), we obtain $d \leq \prod_{i=0}^{m} u_{2i}$. Therefore $d \in U$, as U is convex in S, a contradiction as required.

Dually we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.10. The variety U_2 of posemigroups satisfying the identity xyz = yyxz is closed if it is U_2 -convex.

From Theorems 4.7 and 4.10, we have the following immediate corollaries.

Corollary 4.11. The variety of po-left [po-right] normal bands is closed in the variety \mathcal{U}_1 [\mathcal{U}_2] of posemigroups if it is \mathcal{U}_1 -convex [\mathcal{U}_2 -convex].

Corollary 4.12. The variety $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2$ of posemigroups is closed.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his careful reading and making critical suggestions which helped in improving the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] Ahanger, S. A., Shah, A. H., Epis, dominions and varieties of commutative Posemigroups, Asian-Europian J. Math., 2020), https://doi.org/10.1142/S173557121500480.
- [2] Al Subaiei B, *Unitary posets and amalgamation of pomonoids*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Southamton, Southamton, 2014.
- [3] Bulman-Fleming, S., Sohail, N., Examples concerning absolute flatness and amalgamation in pomonoids, Semigroup Forum, 80 (2010), 272-292.
- [4] Bloom, L. S., Variety of ordered algebras, J. Comput. System Sci., 13 (1976), 200-212.
- [5] Higgins, P. M., Epis are onto for generalized inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum (Springer Verlag), 23 (1981), 255-259.
- [6] Higgins, P. M., Epimorphisms and semigroup varieties, PhD. Thesis, Monash University, (1983).
- [7] Howie, J. M., Isbell, J. R., Epimorphisms and dominions II, Journal of Algebra, 6 (1967), 7-21.

- [8] Howie, J. M., Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1995).
- [9] Scheiblich, H. E., On epis and dominions of bands, Semigroup Forum, 13 (1976), 103-114.
- [10] Sohail, N., Tart, L., Dominions, zigzags and epimorphism for partially ordered semigroups, Acta Commen. Univ. Tartu. Math., (1) 18 (2014), 81-91.

S. A. Ahanger

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, P.O.Box 191131, Ganderbal, India.

Email: shabir@cukashmir.ac.in

S. Bano

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, P.O.Box 191131, Ganderbal, India.

Email: sakeenabano@cukashmir.ac.in

A. H. Shah

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, P.O.Box 191131, Ganderbal, India.

Email: aftabshahcuk@gmail.com