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# A NOTE ON THE EXTENDED TOTAL GRAPH OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS 
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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ a nonempty proper subset of $R$. In this paper, the extended total graph, denoted by $E T_{H}(R)$ is presented, where $H$ is a multiplicative-prime subset of $R$. It is the graph with all elements of $R$ as vertices, and for distinct $p, q \in R$, the vertices $p$ and $q$ are adjacent if and only if $r p+s q \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$. We also study the two (induced) subgraphs $E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$, with vertices $H$ and $R \backslash H$, respectively. Among other things, the diameter and the girth of $E T_{H}(R)$ are also studied.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $R$ is a commutative ring with nonzero identity. Recently, there has been considerable attention in the work to associating graphs with algebraic structures (see [4],[5],[6], [8], [9] and [10]). Anderson and Badawi in [3] defined a nonempty proper subset $H$ of $R$ to be a multiplicative-prime subset of $R$ if the following two conditions hold: (i) $a b \in H$ for every $a \in H$ and $b \in R$; (ii) if $r s \in H$ for some $r, s \in R$, then either $r \in H$ or $s \in H$. They introduced the notion of the generalized total graph of a commutative ring $G T_{H}(R)$ with the vertices of this graph are all elements of $R$ and two vertices $x, y \in R$ are adjacent if and only if $x+y \in H$ where $H$ is a multiplicative-prime subset of $R$. In this paper, we introduce an extension of the graph $G T_{H}(R)$, denoted by $E T_{H}(R)$, such that its vertex set consist of all

[^0]elements of $R$ and for distinct $p, q \in R$, the vertices $p$ and $q$ are adjacent if and only if $r p+s q \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$, where $H$ is a multiplicative-prime subset of $R$. Let $E T_{H}(H)$ be the (induced) subgraph of $E T_{H}(R)$ with vertex set $H$, and let $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ be the (induced) subgraph $E T_{H}(R)$ with vertices consisting of $R \backslash H$.
Obviously, the total graph $G T_{H}(R)$ is a subgraph of $E T_{H}(R)$. It follows that each edge (path) of $G T_{H}(R)$ is an edge (path) of $E T_{H}(R)$. The study of $E T_{H}(R)$ breaks naturally into two cases depending on whether or not $H$ is an ideal of $R$. In the second section, , we handle the case when $H$ is an ideal of $R$; in the third section, we do the case when $H$ is not an ideal of $R$. For every case, we characterize the girths and diameters of $E T_{H}(R), E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$.

We begin with some notation and definitions. For a graph $\Gamma$, by $E(\Gamma)$ and $V(\Gamma)$, we mean the set of all edges and vertices, respectively. We recall that a graph is connected if there exists a path connecting any two of it's distinct vertices. At the other extreme, we say that a graph is totally disconnected if no two vertices of this graph are adjacent. The distance between two distinct vertices $a$ and $b$, denoted by $\mathrm{d}(a, b)$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them (if such a path does not exist, then $d(a, b)=\infty$. We also define $d(a, a)=0$. The diameter of a graph $\Gamma$, denoted by $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$, is equal to $\sup \{d(a, b): a, b \in V(\Gamma)\}$. A graph is complete if it is connected with diameter less than or equal to one. The girth of a graph $\Gamma$, denoted $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma)$, is the length of a shortest cycle in $\Gamma$, provided $\Gamma$ contains a cycle; otherwise; $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma)=\infty$. We denote the complete graph on $n$ vertices by $K^{n}$ and the complete bipartite graph on $m$ and $n$ vertices by $K^{m, n}$ (we allow $m$ and $n$ to be infinite cardinals). For a graph $\Gamma$, the degree of a vertex $v$ in $\Gamma$, denoted $\operatorname{deg}(v)$, is the number of edges of $\Gamma$ incident with $v$. We say that two (induced) subgraphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ of $\Gamma$ are disjoint if $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ have no common vertices and no vertices of $\Gamma_{1}$ is adjacent(in $\Gamma$ ) to some vertex of $\Gamma_{2}$.

## 2. The case when $H$ is an ideal of $R$

In this section, we study the case when $H$ is a an ideal of $R$. It is clear that if $H$ an ideal of $R$, then $H$ is a prime ideal of $R$. If $H=R$, then it is clear that $E T_{H}(R)$ is a complete graph and $E T_{H}(R)$ is disconnected when $H=0$ and $|R| \geq 2$. So we may assume that $H \neq 0$ and $H \neq R$.
First we begin with the following example that shows $E T_{H}(R) \neq$ $G T_{H}(R)$.

Example 2.1. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{8}$. Set $H=\{\overline{0}, \overline{4}\}$. It is clear that $H$ is an ideal of $R$. Since $\overline{7}+\overline{3}=\overline{2} \notin H$, so $\overline{7}-\overline{3}$ is not an edge in $G T_{H}(R)$. But $\overline{1}(\overline{7})+\overline{3}(\overline{3})=\overline{0} \in H$ and $\overline{1}, \overline{3} \in R \backslash H$. Then $\overline{7}-\overline{3}$ is an edge in $E T_{H}(R)$. Hence $E T_{H}(R) \neq G T_{H}(R)$.

The main goal of this section is a general structure theorem (Theorem 2.4) for $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ when $H$ is an ideal of $R$. But first, we record the trivial observation that $H$ is an ideal of $R$, the $E T_{H}(H)$ is a complete subgraph of $E T_{H}(R)$ and is disjoint from $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. Thus we will concentrate on the subgraph $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ throughout this section.
Theorem 2.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$. Then $E T_{H}(H)$ is a complete subgraph of $E T_{H}(R)$ and is disjoint from $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. In particular, $E T_{H}(H)$ is connected and $E T_{H}(R)$ is disconnected.

Proof. Let $p, q \in H$. Then it is clear that $p+q \in H$ since $H$ is an ideal of $R$. If $x \in H$ is adjacent to $y \in R \backslash H$, then $r x+s y \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$. This implies that $s y \in H$, so either $y \in H$ or $s \in H$ since $H$ is a prime ideal which is a contradiction. The "in particular" state is clear.

Theorem 2.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$. Then the following hold:
(1) Suppose that $G$ is an induced subgraph of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ and let $x$ and $y$ be distinct vertices of $G$ that are connected by a path in $G$. Then there exists a path in $G$ of length 2 between $x$ and $y$. In particular, if $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is connected, then $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right) \leq 2$.
(2) Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct elements of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ that are connected by a path. If $x+y \notin H$ then $x-(-x)-y$ and $x-(-y)-y$ are paths of length 2 between $x$ and $y$ in $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$.
Proof. (1) Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ are distinct vertices of $G$. It suffices to show that if there is a path $x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}$ from $x_{1}$ to $x_{4}$, then $x_{1}$ and $x_{4}$ are adjacent. Now, $r_{1} x_{1}+r_{2} x_{2}, r_{2}^{\prime} x_{2}+r_{3}^{\prime} x_{3}, r_{3} x_{3}+r_{4} x_{4} \in H$ for some $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$. Hence $\left(r_{1} r_{3} r_{2}^{\prime}\right) x_{1}+\left(r_{2} r_{3}^{\prime} r_{4}\right) x_{4}=$ $r_{3} r_{2}^{\prime}\left(r_{1} x_{1}+r_{2} x_{2}\right)-r_{2} r_{3}\left(r_{2}^{\prime} x_{2}+r_{3}^{\prime} x_{3}\right)+r_{2} r_{3}^{\prime}\left(r_{3} x_{3}+r_{4} x_{4}\right) \in H$. Since $H$ is a prime ideal of $R$, so $r_{1} r_{3} r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{2} r_{3}^{\prime} r_{4} \notin H$. Then $x_{1}$ and $x_{4}$ are adjacent. So if $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is connected, then $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right) \leq 2$.
(2) Since $x, y \in R \backslash H$ and $x+y \notin H$, there exists $z \in R \backslash H$ such that $x-z-y$ is a path of length 2 by part (1) above. So $r x+s z, s^{\prime} z+r^{\prime} y \in H$ for some $r, s, r^{\prime}, s^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$. Therefore $r s^{\prime}, r^{\prime} s \notin H$ since $H$ is a prime ideal of $R$. Then $r s^{\prime} x-r^{\prime} s y=s^{\prime}(r x+s z)-s\left(s^{\prime} z+r^{\prime} y\right) \in H$ and $x$ is adjacent to $y$. So $x-(-x)-y$ and $x-(-y)-y$ are paths of length 2 between $x$ and $y$ in $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$.

Now, we give the main theorem of this section. Since $E T_{H}(H)$ is a complete subgraph of $E T_{H}(R)$ by Theorem 2.2, the next theorem gives a complete description of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. Let $|H|=\alpha$. We allow $\alpha$ to be infinite cardinal. Compare the next theorem with [3, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.4. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$ and let $|H|=\alpha$.
(1) If $r+s \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$, then $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is the union of complete subgraphs.
(2) If $r+s \notin H$ for all $r, s \in R \backslash H$, then $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is the union of totally disconnected subgraphs and some connected subgraphs.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $r+s \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$. For $x, x^{\prime} \in$ $R \backslash H$, we write $x \sim x^{\prime}$ if and only if $t x+t^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in H$ and $t+t^{\prime} \in H$ for some $t, t^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$. It is straightforward to check that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $R \backslash H$, since $H$ is a prime ideal. For $x \in R \backslash H$, we denote the equivalence class which contains $x$ by $[x]$. Now let $x \in R \backslash H$. If $[x]=\{x\}$, then $r\left(x+h_{1}\right)+s\left(x+h_{2}\right)=(r+s) x+r h_{1}+s h_{2} \in H$ for every $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$ since $r+s \in H$. Then $x+H$ is a complete subgraph of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ with at most $\alpha$ vertices. Now let $|[x]|=\nu$ and $x^{\prime} \in[x]$. Then $t x+t^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in H$ and $t+t^{\prime} \in H$ for some $t, t^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$. So $t\left(x+h_{1}\right)+t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}+h_{2}\right)=t x+t^{\prime} x^{\prime}+t h_{1}+t^{\prime} h_{2} \in H$ for every $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$. Thus $x+H$ is a part of complete graph $k^{\mu}$ where $\mu \leq \alpha \nu$.
(2) Assume that $r+s \notin H$ for all $r, s \in R \backslash H$. Set

$$
A_{x}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H: r x+s x^{\prime} \in H \text { for some } r, s \in R \backslash H\right\}
$$

be the set of all adjacent vertices to $x$. If $A_{x}=\emptyset$, then $p x+q x^{\prime} \notin H$ for every $x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ and every $p, q \in R \backslash H$. In this case, we show that $x+H$ is a totally disconnected subgraph of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. If $r\left(x+x_{1}\right)+s\left(x+x_{2}\right) \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H$, then $(r+s) x \in H$. Since $H$ is a prime ideal of $R$ and $x \notin H$, then $r+s \in H$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $x+H$ is a totally disconnected subgraph of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. Now, we may assume that $A_{x} \neq \emptyset$. Then $r x+s x^{\prime} \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$. Hence $r\left(x+h_{1}\right)+s\left(x^{\prime}+h_{2}\right)=r x+s x^{\prime}+r h_{1}+s h_{2} \in H$ for every $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H ;$ hence each element of $x+H$ is adjacent to each element of $x^{\prime}+H$. If $\left|A_{x}\right|=\nu$, then we have a connected subgraph of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ with at most $\alpha \nu$ vertices. So $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is the union of totally disconnected subgraphs and some connected subgraphs.

Now it is easy to compute the girth of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ using Theorem 2.4 .

Theorem 2.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$. Then $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=3,4$ or $\infty$. In particular, $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash\right.$ $H)) \leq 4$ if $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ contains a cycle.

Proof. Let $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ contains a cycle. Then $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is not a totally disconnected graph, so by the proof of Theorem 2.4, ET $T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ has either a complete or a complete bipartite subgraph. Therefore it must contain either a 3-cycle or 4-cycle. Thus $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right) \leq 4$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$.
(1) $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=3$ if and only if $r+s \in H$ and $|y+H| \geq 3$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $y \in R \backslash H$.
(2) $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=4$ if and only if $r+s \notin H$ for every $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $p x+q x^{\prime} \in H$ for some $x, x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ and $p, q \in R \backslash H$.
(3) Otherwise, $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=\infty$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=3$. Then by Theorem 2.4, $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is a complete graph $K^{\lambda}$ where $\lambda \geq 3$. Then $r+s \in H$ for some $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $|y+H| \geq 3$ for some $y \in R \backslash H$ by Theorem 2.4.
(2) If $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=4$, then $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ has a complete bipartite subgraph. So $r+s \notin H$ for every $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $p x+q x^{\prime} \in H$ for some $x, x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ and $p, q \in R \backslash H$ by Theorem 2.4.
The other implications of (1) and (2) follow directly from Theorem 2.4.

We end this section with the following theorem.
Theorem 2.7. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $H$ be a prime ideal of $R$.
(1) $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=3$ if and only if $|H| \geq 3$.
(2) $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=4$ if and only if $r+s \notin H$ for every $r, s \in R \backslash H$,
$|H|<3$ and $p x+q x^{\prime} \in U$ for some $x, x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ and $p, q \in R \backslash H$.
(3) Otherwise, $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=\infty$.

Proof. (1) This follows from Theorem 2.2.
(2) Assume that $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=4$. Since $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=3$ or $\infty$, then $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R \backslash H)\right)=4$. Therefore $r+s \notin H$ for every $r, s \in R \backslash H$ and $p x+q x^{\prime} \in H$ for some $x, x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ and $p, q \in R \backslash H$ by Theorem 2.6. On the other hand, $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right) \neq 3$; so $|H|<3$. The other implication follows from Theorem 2.4.

## 3. The case when $H$ is not an ideal of $R$

In this section, we study $E T_{H}(R)$, when the multiplicative-prime subset $H$ is not an ideal of $R$. Since $H$ is always closed under multiplication by elements of $R$, this just means that $0 \in H$ and there are distinct $x, y \in H$ such that $x+y \in R \backslash H$.
First we begin with the following example that shows $E T_{H}(R) \neq$ $G T_{H}(R)$.
Example 3.1. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}$. Set $H=4 \mathbb{Z} \cup 6 \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $H$ is not an ideal of $R$ since $4,6 \in H$, but $4+6=10 \notin H$. So $4-6$ is not an edge in $G T_{H}(R)$. But $2(4)+2(6)=20 \in H$ and $2 \in R \backslash H$. Then $4-6$ is an edge in $E T_{H}(R)$. Hence $E T_{H}(R) \neq G T_{H}(R)$.

Now, we have the following theorem that shows $E T_{H}(H)$ is always connected (but never complete), $E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ are never disjoint subgraphs of $E T_{H}(R)$ and $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected when $E T_{H}(R \backslash$ $H)$ is connected.

Theorem 3.2. Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that $H$ is a multiplicativeprime subset of $R$ that is not an ideal of $R$. Then the following hold:
(1) $E T_{H}(H)$ is connected with $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=2$.
(2) Some vertex of $E T_{H}(H)$ is adjacent to a vertex of $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$. In particular, the subgraphs $E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ are not disjoint.
(3) If $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ is connected, then $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected.

Proof. (1) Let $x \in H^{*}=H \backslash\{0\}$. Then $x$ is adjacent to 0 . Thus $x-0-x^{\prime}$ is a path in $E T_{H}(H)$ of length two between any two distinct $x, x^{\prime} \in H^{*}$. Moreover, there exist nonadjacent $x, x^{\prime} \in H^{*}$ since $H$ is not an ideal of $R$; thus $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=2$.
(2) Since $H$ is not an ideal of $R$, there exist distinct $x, y \in H^{*}$ such that $x+y \notin H$. Then $-x \in H$ and $x+y \in H$ are adjacent vertices in $E T_{H}(R)$. Finally, the "in particular" statement is clear.
(3) Since $E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$ are connected and there is an edge between $E T_{H}(H)$ and $E T_{H}(R \backslash H)$, so $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected.

We determine when $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected and compute $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)$ with the following theorem. Compare the next theorem with $[3$, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.3. Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that $H$ is a multiplicativeprime subset of $R$ that is not an ideal of $R$. Then $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected if and only if for every $x \in R$ there exists $r \in R \backslash H$ such that $r x \in\langle H\rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected, and $x \in R$. Then there exists a path $0-x_{1}-x_{2}-\ldots-x_{n}-x$ from 0 to $x$ in $E T_{H}(R)$.

Thus $r_{1} x_{1}, r_{2} x_{1}+r_{3} x_{2}, \ldots, r_{2 n-2} x_{n-1}+r_{2 n-1} x_{n}, r_{2 n} x_{n}+s x \in H$ for some $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{2 n}, s \in R \backslash H$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s r_{1} r_{3} r_{5} \ldots r_{2 n-1} x=\left(r_{1} r_{3} r_{5} \ldots r_{2 n-1}\right)\left(s x+r_{2 n} x_{n}\right)- \\
\left(r_{1} r_{3} r_{5} \ldots r_{2 n-3} r_{2 n}\right)\left(r_{2 n-2} x_{n-1}+r_{2 n-1} x_{n}\right)+\ldots \\
-\left(r_{1} r_{3} \ldots r_{2 n-2 k-5} r_{2 n-2 k-3} r_{2 n-2 k} r_{2 n-2 k-2} \ldots r_{2 n}\right)\left(r_{2 n-2 k-1} x_{n-k}+r_{2 n-2 k-2} x_{n-(k+1)}\right) \\
+\left(r_{1} r_{3} \ldots r_{2 n-2 k-5} r_{2 n-2 k-2} r_{2 n-2 k} r_{2 n-2 k-2} \ldots r_{2 n}\right)\left(r_{2 n-2 k-3} x_{n-(k+1)}+r_{2 n-2 k-4} x_{n-(k+2)}\right) \\
\ldots-\left(r_{2} r_{4} r_{6} \ldots r_{2 n}\right)\left(r_{1} x_{1}\right) \in\langle H\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $H$ is a multiplicative-prime subset of $R$, so $r=s r_{1} r_{3} r_{5} \ldots r_{2 n-1} \in$ $R \backslash H$ and $r x \in\langle H\rangle$. Conversely, suppose that for every $x \in R$ there exists $r \in R \backslash H$ such that $r x \in\langle H\rangle$. We show that for each $0 \neq x \in R$, there exists a path in $E T_{H}(R)$ from 0 to $x$. By assumption, there are elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H$ such that $r x=h_{1}+h_{2}+\ldots+h_{n}$. Set $y_{0}=0$ and $y_{k}=(-1)^{n+k}\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+\ldots+h_{k}\right)$ for each integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $y_{k}+y_{k+1}=(-1)^{n+k+1} h_{k+1} \in H$ for each integer $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Also, $y_{n-1}+r x=y_{n-1}+y_{n}=h_{n} \in H$. Thus $0-y_{1}-y_{2}-\ldots-y_{n-1}-x$ is a path from 0 to $x$ in $E T_{H}(R)$. Now, let $0 \neq x, y \in R$. Then by the preceding argument, there are paths from $x$ to 0 and 0 to $y$ in $E T_{H}(R)$. Hence there is a path from $x$ to $y$ in $E T_{H}(R)$. So $E T_{H}(R)$ is connected.

Theorem 3.4. Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that $H$ is a multiplicativeprime subset of $R$ that is not an ideal of $R$, and let for every $x \in R$ there exists $r \in R \backslash H$ such that $r x \in\langle H\rangle$. Let $n \geq 2$ be the least integer such that $\langle H\rangle=<h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}>$ for some $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H$. Then $\operatorname{diam}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right) \leq n$.

Proof. Let $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ be distinct elements in $R$. We show that there exists a path from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ in $E T_{H}(R)$ with length at most $n$. By hypothesis, $r x, r^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in\langle H\rangle$ for some $r, r^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$, so we can write $r x=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} h_{i}$ and $r^{\prime} x^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} h_{i}$ for some $r_{i}, s_{i} \in R$. Define $x_{0}=x$ and $x_{k}=(-1)^{k}\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} r_{i} h_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} h_{i}\right)$, so $x_{k}+x_{k+1}=(-1)^{k} h_{k+1}\left(r_{k+1}-\right.$ $\left.s_{k+1}\right) \in H$ for each integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. On the other hand, $r x+x_{1}=\left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) h_{1} \in H$ and $r^{\prime} x^{\prime}+(-1)^{n} x_{n-1}=\left(s_{n}-r_{n}\right) h_{n} \in H$. So $x-x_{1}-x_{2}-\ldots-x_{n-1}-x^{\prime}$ is a path from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ in $E T_{H}(R)$ with length at most $n$ since $1,(-1)^{n} \notin H$.

We end the paper with the following theorem.
Theorem 3.5. Let $R$ be a commutative ring such that $H$ is a multiplicativeprime subset of $R$ that is not an ideal of $R$. Then the following hold:
(1) Either $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=3$ or $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=\infty$.
(2) If $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=4$, then $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=\infty$.

Proof. (1) If $r x+s x^{\prime} \in H$ for some distinct $x, x^{\prime} \in H$ and $r, s \in R \backslash H$, then $0-x-x^{\prime}-0$ is a cycle of length 3 in $E T_{H}(H)$, so $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=3$. Otherwise $r x+s x^{\prime} \in R \backslash H$ for all distinct $x, x^{\prime} \in H$ and all elements $r, s \in R \backslash H$. Therefore in this case, each nonzero element $x \in H$ is adjacent to 0 , and no two distinct $x, x^{\prime} \in H$ are adjacent. Thus $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=\infty$.
(2) If $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(R)\right)=4$, then it is clear $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right) \neq 3$. So $\operatorname{gr}\left(E T_{H}(H)\right)=$ $\infty$ by part (1) above.
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