Journal of Algebra and Related Topics Vol. 11, No 1, (2023), pp 1-14

ŁUKASIEWICZ FUZZY IDEALS IN BCK-ALGEBRAS AND BCI-ALGEBRAS

Y. B. JUN

ABSTRACT. The notion of (closed) Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal is introduced, and several properties are investigated. The relationship between Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra and Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal is discussed, and characterization of a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal is considered. Conditions for a Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra to be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal are provided, and conditions for the \in -set, *q*-set and *O*-set to be ideals are explored.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fuzzy concept, which is introduced by L. A. Zadeh [10], is understood as a concept which is "to an extent applicable" in a situation. That means the concept has gradations of significance or unsharp (variable) boundaries of application. Prior to the emergence of the fuzzy set, the very idea of inferring as an unclear concept faced considerable resistance from the elite in the academic world. They did not want to endorse the use of imprecise concepts in research or argumentation. Yet although people might not be aware of it, the use of fuzzy concepts has risen gigantically in all walks of life from the 1970s onward. That is mainly due to advances in electronic engineering, fuzzy mathematics and digital computer programming. The new technology allows very complex inferences about "variations on a theme" to be anticipated and fixed in a program. As is well known, fuzzy sets have contributed

MSC(2010): Primary: 65F05; Secondary: 03G25, 08A72

Keywords: Lukasiewicz fuzzy s
et, (strong) Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra, (closed) Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal
, \in -set, q-set, O-set.

Received: 16 March 2022, Accepted: 24 December 2022.

significantly to the development of pure and applied mathematics due to their extensive application capabilities. Lukasiewicz logic, which is the logic of the Łukasiewicz *t*-norm, is a non-classical and manyvalued logic. Using the idea of Łukasiewicz *t*-norm, Jun [4] constructed the concept of Łukasiewicz fuzzy sets based on a given fuzzy set and applied it to BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. He defined the concepts of (strong) Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebras, and investigated several properties. He provided conditions for Łukasiewicz fuzzy set to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra, and explored the conditions under which Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra becomes strong. He disussed characterizations of Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebras. He constructed a three kind of subsets so called \in -set, *q*-set and *O*-set, and he found the conditions under which they can be subalgebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of (closed) Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal in BCK/BCI-algebras and investigate several properties. We consider characterization of a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal. We discuss the relationship between Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra and Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal. We give a condition for a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal. We provide conditions for the \in -set, q-set and O-set to be ideals.

2. Preliminaries

A BCK/BCI-algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki (see [2] and [3]) and was extensively investigated by several researchers. We recall the definitions and basic results required in this paper. See the books [1, 6] for further information regarding BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras.

If a set X has a special element 0 and a binary operation * satisfying the conditions:

- $(I_1) \ (\forall a, b, c \in X) \ (((a * b) * (a * c)) * (c * b) = 0),$
- $(I_2) \ (\forall a, b \in X) \ ((a * (a * b)) * b = 0),$
- $(I_3) \ (\forall a \in X) \ (a * a = 0),$
- $(I_4) \ (\forall a, b \in X) \ (a * b = 0, \ b * a = 0 \ \Rightarrow \ a = b),$

then we say that X is a BCI-algebra. If a BCI-algebra X satisfies the following identity:

(K) $(\forall a \in X) (0 * a = 0),$

then X is called a *BCK-algebra*.

The order relation " \leq " in a BCK/BCI-algebra X is defined as follows:

$$(\forall a, b \in X) (a \le b \iff a \ast b = 0).$$
(2.1)

A subset A of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called

• a subalgebra of X (see [1, 6]) if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in A)(a * b \in A), \tag{2.2}$$

• an *ideal* of X (see [1, 6]) if it satisfies:

$$0 \in A, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in X)(a * b \in A, b \in A \Rightarrow a \in A).$$

$$(2.4)$$

A fuzzy set f in a set X of the form

$$f(b) := \begin{cases} t \in (0,1] & \text{if } b = a, \\ 0 & \text{if } b \neq a, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a *fuzzy point* with support a and value t and is denoted by [a/t].

For a fuzzy set f in a set X, we say that a fuzzy point [a/t] is

- (i) contained in f, denoted by $[a/t] \in f$, (see [8]) if $f(a) \ge t$.
- (ii) quasi-coincident with f, denoted by [a/t] q f, (see [8]) if f(a) + t > 1.

If $[a/t] \alpha f$ is not established for $\alpha \in \{\in, q\}$, it is denoted by $[a/t] \overline{\alpha} f$. A fuzzy set f in a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called

• a fuzzy subalgebra of X (see [5]) if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in X)(f(a * b) \ge \min\{f(a), f(b)\}).$$
 (2.5)

• a fuzzy ideal of X (see [5, 9]) if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a \in X)(f(0) \ge f(a)), \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in X)(f(a) \ge \min\{f(a * b), f(b)\}).$$
 (2.7)

Definition 2.1 ([4]). Let f be a fuzzy set in a set X and let $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. A function

 $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \max\{0, f(x) + \varepsilon - 1\}$

is called an ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of f in X.

Let L_f^{ε} be an ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in X. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) = \max\{0, f(x) + 1 - 1\} = \max\{0, f(x)\} = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This shows that if $\varepsilon = 1$, then the ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in X is the classifical fuzzy set f itself in X. If $\varepsilon = 0$, then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) = \max\{0, f(x) + 0 - 1\} = \max\{0, f(x) - 1\} = 0$ for all $x \in X$, that is, if $\varepsilon = 0$, then the ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set is the zero fuzzy set. Therefore, in handling the ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set, the value of ε can always be considered to be in (0, 1). Let f be a fuzzy set in a set X and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. If $f(x) + \varepsilon \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$, then the ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ of f in X is the 0-constant function, that is, $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, in order for the ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set to have a meaningful form, a fuzzy set f in X and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ must be set to satisfy the following condition:

$$(\exists x \in X)(f(x) + \varepsilon > 1). \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.2 ([4]). Let f be a fuzzy set in a BCK/BCI-algebra Xand ε an element of (0, 1). Then its ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} in X is called an ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra of X if it satisfies:

$$[x/t_a] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, \ [y/t_b] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon} \implies [(x * y)/\min\{t_a, t_b\}] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$$
(2.9)

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$.

Let f be a fuzzy set in X. For an ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ of f in X and $t \in (0, 1]$, consider the sets

$$(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\varepsilon} := \{ x \in X \mid [x/t] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon} \},\$$
$$(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q} := \{ x \in X \mid [x/t] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon} \},\$$

which are called the \in -set and q-set, respectively, of L_f^{ε} (with value t). Also, consider a set:

$$O(\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}) := \{ x \in X \mid \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x) > 0 \}$$

$$(2.10)$$

which is called an *O*-set of L_f^{ε} . It is observed that

$$O(\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}) = \{ x \in X \mid f(x) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0 \}.$$

3. Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideals

In what follows, let X be a BCK-algebra or a BCI-algebra, and ε is an element of (0, 1) unless otherwise specified. Also, the " ε -Lukasiewicz fuzzy set" is simply called the "Lukasiewicz fuzzy set" by omitting " ε ".

Definition 3.1. Let f be a fuzzy set in X. Then its Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} in X is called a *Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal* of X if it satisfies:

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0)$$
 is an upper bound of $\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \mid x \in X\},$ (3.1)

$$[(x * y)/t_a] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, \ [y/t_b] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon} \implies [x/\min\{t_a, t_b\}] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ be a set with a binary operation "*" given by Table 1.

*	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
0	0	0	0	0	0
a_1	a_1	0	0	a_1	a_1
a_2	a_2	a_1	0	a_2	a_2
a_3	a_3	a_3	a_3	0	a_3
a_4	a_4	a_4	a_4	a_4	0

TABLE 1. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

Then X is a BCK-algebra (see [6]). Define a fuzzy set f in X as follows:

$$f: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.77 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.62 & \text{if } x \in \{a_1, a_2\}, \\ 0.49 & \text{if } x = a_3, \\ 0.72 & \text{if } x = a_4. \end{cases}$$

If we take $\varepsilon := 0.51$, then the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of f in X is given as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.28 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.13 & \text{if } x \in \{a_{1}, a_{2}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = a_{3}, \\ 0.23 & \text{if } x = a_{4}, \end{cases}$$

and it is routine to verify that L_f^{ε} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

Theorem 3.3. Let f be a fuzzy set in X. Then its Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X if and only if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in X)(\forall t_a \in (0,1]) ([x/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon} \implies [0/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}), \qquad (3.3)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \ge \min\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(x * y), L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)\}).$$
(3.4)

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X. Let $x \in X$ and $t_a \in (0,1]$ be such that $[x/t_a] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. Using (3.1) leads to $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) \geq \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq t_a$, and so $[0/t_a] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. Note that $[(x * y)/\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y)] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (3.2) that $[x/\min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, and hence $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (3.3) and (3.4). Since $[x/\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x)] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x \in X$, we have $[0/\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x)] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and so $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) \geq \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ by (3.3). Hence $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0)$ is an upper bound of $\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \mid x \in X\}$. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_{a}, t_{b} \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[(x * y)/t_{a}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t_{b}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y) \geq t_{a}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y) \geq t_{b}$, which imply from (3.4) that

JUN

 $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x*y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \geq \min\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}. \text{ Thus } [x/\min\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}.$ Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

Lemma 3.4. Every Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal L_f^{ε} of X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(\forall t_a \in (0, 1])(x \le y, [y/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow [x/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(\forall t_b, t_c \in (0, 1]) \begin{pmatrix} x * y \le z, [y/t_b] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}, [z/t_c] \in L_f^{\varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow [x/\min\{t_b, t_c\}] \in L_f^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_a \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $[y/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Then x * y = 0, and so

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x \ast y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} = \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} = \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y) \geq t_{a}$$

Hence $[x/t_a] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and therefore (3.5) is valid. Let $x, y, z \in X$ and $t_b, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x * y \leq z$, $[y/t_b] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[z/t_c] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$. Then (x * y) * z = 0, $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(y) \geq t_b$ and $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(z) \geq t_c$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x \ast y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}\\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}((x \ast y) \ast z), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(z)\}, \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}\\ &= \min\{\min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(z)\}, \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}\\ &= \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(z), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}\\ &\geq \min\{t_{b}, t_{c}\}, \end{split}$$

and so $[x/\min\{t_b, t_c\}] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$. Therefore (3.6) is valid.

Proposition 3.5. If L_f^{ε} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X, then (3.5) and (3.6) are equivalent to the following two facts, respectively.

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \le y \Rightarrow L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \ge L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)),$$

$$(3.7)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y \le z \implies L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \ge \min\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(y), L_f^{\varepsilon}(z)\}).$$
(3.8)

Proof. We first assume that (3.5) is valid and suppose that $x \leq y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Since $[y/L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$, it follows from (3.5) that $[x/L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Hence $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)$, and so (3.7) is valid. Suppose that (3.6) holds and let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x * y \leq z$. Note that $[y/L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[z/L_f^{\varepsilon}(z)] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Thus $[x/\min\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(y), L_f^{\varepsilon}(z)\}] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$ by (3.6), which implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(z)\}.$$

Conversely, assume that (3.7) is valid. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_a \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $[y/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq L_f^{\varepsilon}(y) \geq t_a$, and so $[x/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Suppose that (3.8) is valid and let $x, y, z \in X$ and

 $\mathbf{6}$

 $t_b, t_c \in (0, 1]$ be such that $x * y \leq z$, $[y/t_b] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[z/t_c] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(z)\} \geq \min\{t_{b}, t_{c}\}.$$

Hence $[x/\min\{t_b, t_c\}] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 3.6. Let L_f^{ε} be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X and assume that $(\cdots ((x * y_1) * y_2) * \cdots) * y_n = 0$ and $[y_i/t_{b_i}] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$ for all $x, y_i \in X$ and $t_{b_i} \in (0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then

$$[x/\min\{t_{b_i} \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$$

$$(3.9)$$

Proof. It can be verified by the induction on n.

We discuss the relationship between Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra and Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal.

Theorem 3.7. In a BCK-algebra, every Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of a BCK-algebra X. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_{a}, t_{b} \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[x/t_{a}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t_{b}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. Since $x * y \leq x$, we have $[(x * y)/t_{a}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ by (3.5). Hence $[x/\min\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ by (3.2), and so $[(x * y)/\min\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ by (3.5). Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra of X.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.7 may not be true.

Example 3.8. Let $X = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be a set with a binary operation "*" given by Table 2. Then X is a BCK-algebra (see [6]). Define a

*	0	a_1	a_2	a_3
0	0	0	0	0
a_1	a_1	0	0	a_1
a_2	a_2	a_1	0	a_2
a_3	a_3	a_3	a_3	0

TABLE 2. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

fuzzy set f in X as follows:

$$f: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.62 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.54 & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ 0.41 & \text{if } x = a_2, \\ 0.48 & \text{if } x = a_3. \end{cases}$$

If we take $\varepsilon := 0.75$, then the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of f in X is given as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.37 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.29 & \text{if } x = a_{1}, \\ 0.16 & \text{if } x = a_{2}, \\ 0.23 & \text{if } x = a_{3}, \end{cases}$$

and it is routine to verify that L_f^{ε} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra of X for $\varepsilon := 0.75$. Since $L_f^{\varepsilon}(a_2) = 0.16 < 0.29 = \min\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(a_2 * a_1), L_f^{\varepsilon}(a_1)\}$, we know that L_f^{ε} is not a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X for $\varepsilon := 0.75$ by Theorem 3.3.

In a BCI-algebra, Theorem 3.7 may not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 3.9. Let (Y, *, 0) be a BCI-algebra and $(\mathbb{Z}, -, 0)$ the adjoint BCI-algebra of the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0)$ of integers. Then $(X, \circ, (0, 0))$ is a BCI-algebra (see [1]) where $X = Y \times \mathbb{Z}$ and \circ is given as follows:

$$(\forall (x,a), (y,b) \in X)((x,a) \circ (y,b) = (x * y, a - b)).$$

Define a fuzzy set f in X as follows:

$$f: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.9 & \text{if } x = (0,0), \\ 0.7 & \text{if } x \in Y \times \mathbb{N}_0, \\ 0.5 & \text{if } x \in Y \times \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a < 0\}, \\ 0.4 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

wher \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of all nonnegative integes. If we take $\varepsilon := 0.49$, then the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ of f in X is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.39 & \text{if } x = (0,0), \\ 0.19 & \text{if } x \in Y \times \mathbb{N}_{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Y \times \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a < 0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that L_f^{ε} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X. But it is not a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra of X since

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}((0,2)\circ(0,5)) = \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}((0,-3)) = 0 < 0.19 = \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}((0,2)),\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}((0,5))\}$$

Definition 3.10. A Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal L_f^{ε} of a BCI-algebra X is said to be *closed* if it is also a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra of X.

8

Example 3.11. Let L_f^{ε} be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in a BCI-algebra X which is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.77 & \text{if } x \in \{a \in X \mid 0 \le a\}, \\ 0.47 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is routine to check that L_f^{ε} is a closed Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

We give a condition for a Łukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra to be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal.

Lemma 3.12 ([4]). Every Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra L_f^{ε} of X satisfies the condition (3.1).

Theorem 3.13. If a Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra L_f^{ε} of a BCK-algebra X satisfies the condition (3.6), then it is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[(x * y)/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t_b] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Since $x * (x * y) \leq y$ for all $x, y \in X$, it follows from (3.6) that $[x/\min\{t_a, t_b\}] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. By combining this and Lemma 3.12, L_f^{ε} is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

Theorem 3.14. If f is a fuzzy ideal of X, then its Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} in X is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof. Let L_f^{ε} be a Łukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy ideal f in X. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) = \max\{0, f(0) + \varepsilon - 1\} \ge \max\{0, f(x) + \varepsilon - 1\} = \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence $L_f^{\varepsilon}(0)$ is an upper bound of $\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \mid x \in X\}$. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[(x * y)/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t_b] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$. Then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x * y) \ge t_a$ and $L_f^{\varepsilon}(y) \ge t_b$, which imply that

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) &= \max\{0, f(x) + \varepsilon - 1\} \geq \max\{0, \min\{f(x * y), f(y)\} + \varepsilon - 1\}\\ &= \max\{0, \min\{f(x * y) + \varepsilon - 1, f(y) + \varepsilon - 1\}\}\\ &= \min\{\max\{0, f(x * y) + \varepsilon - 1\}, \max\{0, f(y) + \varepsilon - 1\}\}\}\\ &= \min\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \geq \min\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $[x/\min\{t_a, t_b\}] \in \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$, and therefore $\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X.

The converse of Theorem 3.14 may not be true as seen in the example below.

Example 3.15. Let $X = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ be a set with a binary operation "*" given by Table 3.

TABLE 3. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

*	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
0	0	0	0	a_3	a_3
a_1	a_1	0	a_1	a_4	a_3
a_2	a_2	a_2	0	a_3	a_3
a_3	a_3	a_3	a_3	0	0
a_4	a_4	a_3	a_4	a_1	0

Then X is a BCI-algebra (see [1]). Define a fuzzy set f in X as follows:

$$f: X \to [0,1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.87 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0.43 & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ 0.79 & \text{if } x = a_2, \\ 0.66 & \text{if } x = a_3, \\ 0.52 & \text{if } x = a_4. \end{cases}$$

If we take $\varepsilon := 0.48$, then the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of f in X is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}: X \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.35 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = a_{1}, \\ 0.27 & \text{if } x = a_{2}, \\ 0.14 & \text{if } x = a_{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = a_{4}, \end{cases}$$

and it is routine to verify that L_f^{ε} is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X. But f is not a fuzzy ideal of X since $f(a_1) = 0.43 \geq 0.52 = \min\{f(a_1 * a_4), f(a_4)\}$.

Let f be a fuzzy set in X. For the Łukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of f in X and $t \in (0, 1]$, consider the sets

$$(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\varepsilon} := \{ x \in X \mid [x/t] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon} \},\$$
$$(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q} := \{ x \in X \mid [x/t] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon} \},\$$

which are called the \in -set and the q-set, respectively, of L_f^{ε} (with value t).

We explore the conditions under which the \in -set of Łukasiewicz fuzzy set can be an ideal.

Theorem 3.16. Let L_f^{ε} be the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set fin X. Then the \in -set $(L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$ of L_f^{ε} with value $t \in (0.5, 1]$ is an ideal of X if and only if the following assertions are valid.

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \le \max\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(0), 0.5\} \right), \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left(\min\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(x * y), L_f^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \le \max\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(x), 0.5\} \right).$$
(3.11)

Proof. Assume that $(L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$ is an ideal of X for $t \in (0.5, 1]$. If

$$\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(a) > \max\{\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(0), 0.5\}$$

for some $a \in X$, then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(a) \in (0.5, 1]$ and $L_f^{\varepsilon}(a) > L_f^{\varepsilon}(0)$. If we take $t = L_f^{\varepsilon}(a)$, then $[a/t] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}$, that is, $a \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$, and $0 \notin (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$. This is a contradiction, and so $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \max\{L_f^{\varepsilon}(0), 0.5\}$ for all $x \in X$. Now, suppose that the condition (3.11) is not valid. Then there exist $a, b \in X$ such that

$$\min\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(a*b),\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(b)\}>\max\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(a),0.5\}.$$

If we take $s := \min\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(a * b), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(b)\}$, then $s \in (0.5, 1]$ and $[(a * b)/s], [b/s] \in (\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, s)_{\in}$, i.e., $a * b, b \in (\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, s)_{\in}$. Since $(\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, s)_{\in}$ is an ideal of X, we have $a \in (\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, s)_{\in}$. But $\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(a) < s$ implies $a \notin (\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, s)_{\in}$, a contradiction. Hence the condition (3.11) is valid.

Conversely, suppose that L_f^{ε} satisfies (3.10) and (3.11). Let $t \in (0.5, 1]$. For every $x \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$0.5 < t \le \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x) \le \max\{\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(0), 0.5\}$$

by (3.10). Thus $0 \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$ and $y \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$. Then $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x * y) \ge t$ and $L_f^{\varepsilon}(y) \ge t$, which imply from (3.11) that

 $0.5 < t \le \min\{\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \le \max\{\mathcal{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x), 0.5\}.$

Hense $[x/t] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, i.e., $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{\in}$ is an ideal of X for $t \in (0.5, 1]$.

Theorem 3.17. If the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of a fuzzy set f in X is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X, then the q-set $(L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_q$ of L_f^{ε} with value $t \in (0, 1]$ is an ideal of X.

Proof. Assume that the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ of a fuzzy set f in X is a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X and let $t \in (0, 1]$. If $0 \notin (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$, then $[0/t] \overline{q} \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) + t \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) \geq \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x)$ for $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(0) \leq 1-t$. Hence $[x/t] \overline{q} \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, and so $x \notin (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$. This is a contadiction, and thus $0 \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$ and $y \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$. Then $[(x * y)/t] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y) > 1 - t$ and $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y) > 1 - t$. It follows from (3.4) that $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \min\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} > 1 - t$. Thus $[x/t] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and so $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, t)_{q}$ is an ideal of X.

JUN

Corollary 3.18. Let L_f^{ε} be the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in X. If f is a fuzzy ideal of X, then the q-set $(L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_q$ of L_f^{ε} with value $t \in (0, 1]$ is an ideal of X.

Theorem 3.19. Let f be a fuzzy set in X. For the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set L_f^{ε} of f in X, if the q-set $(L_f^{\varepsilon}, t)_q$ of L_f^{ε} is an ideal of X, then the following assertions are valid.

$$0 \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, t_a)_{\epsilon}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$[(x*y)/t_a] q L_f^{\varepsilon}, [y/t_b] q L_f^{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow x \in (L_f^{\varepsilon}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_{\varepsilon}$$
(3.13)

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 0.5]$. If $0 \notin (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, t_a)_{\in}$, then $[0/t_a] \in \mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and so $\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(0) < t_a \leq 1 - t_a$ since $t_a \leq 0.5$. Hence $[0/t_a] \overline{q} \mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and thus $0 \notin (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, t_a)_q$. This is a contradiction, and therefore $0 \in (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, t_a)_{\in}$. Let $[(x * y)/t_a] q \mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/t_b] q \mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$. Then $x * y \in (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, t_a)_q \subseteq (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q$ and $y \in (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, t_b)_q \subseteq (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q$. Hence $x \in (\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}, \max\{t_a, t_b\})_q$, and so

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) > 1 - \max\{t_{a}, t_{b}\} \ge \max\{t_{a}, t_{b}\},$$

that is, $[x/\max\{t_{a}, t_{b}\}] \in \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. Therefore $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, \max\{t_{a}, t_{b}\})_{\varepsilon}$. \Box

Theorem 3.20. Given a fuzzy set f in X, let L_f^{ε} be the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of f in X. If f is a fuzzy ideal of X, then the O-set $O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$ of L_f^{ε} is an ideal of X.

Proof. Assume that f is a fuzzy ideal of X. Then $\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}$ is a Łukasiewicz fuzzy ideal of X by Theorem 3.14. It is clear that $0 \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$ and $y \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$. Then $f(x * y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$ and $f(y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) &\geq \min\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x \ast y), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{f(x \ast y) + \varepsilon - 1, f(y) + \varepsilon - 1\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$, and therefore $O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$ is an ideal of X.

Theorem 3.21. Let L_f^{ε} be the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in X. If the image of X under L_f^{ε} is positive and L_f^{ε} satisfies:

$$[(x*y)/t_a] \in L_f^{\varepsilon}, \ [y/t_b] \in L_f^{\varepsilon} \implies [x/\max\{t_a, t_b\}] q L_f^{\varepsilon}$$
(3.14)

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$, then the O-set $O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$ of L_f^{ε} is an ideal of X.

Proof. Assume that $L_f^{\varepsilon}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$ and the condition (3.14) is valid for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$. It is clear that $0 \in O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$ and $y \in O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$. Then $f(x * y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$ and $f(y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$. Since $[(x * y)/\mathbb{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y)] \in \mathbb{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/\mathbb{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)] \in \mathbb{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$, it follows from (3.14) that

$$\left[x/\max\{\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x*y),\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}\right]q\,\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}.$$
(3.15)

If $x \notin O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$, then $\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x) + \max\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x*y), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} &= \max\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x*y), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{0, f(x*y) + \varepsilon - 1\}, \max\{0, f(y) + \varepsilon - 1\}\} \\ &= \max\{f(x*y) + \varepsilon - 1, f(y) + \varepsilon - 1\} \\ &= \max\{f(x*y), f(y)\} + \varepsilon - 1 \\ &\leq 1 + \varepsilon - 1 = \varepsilon \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $[x/\max\{\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x * y), \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)\}] \overline{q} \mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. This is impossible, and thus $x \in O(\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon})$. Therefore $O(\mathbf{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon})$ is an ideal of X. \Box

Theorem 3.22. Let L_f^{ε} be the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set of a fuzzy set f in X. If it satisfies $[0/\varepsilon] q f$ and the condition (3.13) for all $x, y \in X$ and $t_a, t_b \in (0, 1]$, then the O-set $O(L_f^{\varepsilon})$ of L_f^{ε} is an ideal of X.

Proof. It is obvious that $0 \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$ by the condition $[0/\varepsilon] q f$. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x * y \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$ and $y \in O(\mathbb{L}_f^{\varepsilon})$. Then $f(x * y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$ and $f(y) + \varepsilon - 1 > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(x \ast y) + 1 &= \max\{0, f(x \ast y) + \varepsilon - 1\} + 1 \\ &= f(x \ast y) + \varepsilon - 1 + 1 \\ &= f(x \ast y) + \varepsilon > 1 \end{split}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}(y)+1 = \max\{0, f(y)+\varepsilon-1\}+1 = f(y)+\varepsilon-1+1 = f(y)+\varepsilon > 1$, that is, $[(x * y)/1] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$ and $[y/1] q \mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}$. It follows from (3.13) that $x \in (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, \max\{1, 1\})_{\varepsilon} = (\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon}, 1)_{\varepsilon}$. Hence $x \in O(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon})$ because if not, then $f(x) + \varepsilon - 1 \leq 0$ and so $f(x) \leq 1 - \varepsilon < 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $O(\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\varepsilon})$ is an ideal of X. \Box

4. Conclusion

In mathematics and philosophy, Łukasiewicz logic is a non-classical, many-valued logic. It was originally defined in the early 20th century by Jan Łukasiewicz as a three-valued modal logic. Triangular norm (abbreviated, t-norm) is a kind of binary operation used in the framework of probabilistic metric spaces and in multi-valued logic, specifically in fuzzy logic. Łukasiewicz t-norm is an example of t-norms, and its name comes from the fact that the t-norm is the standard semantics for strong conjunction in Łukasiewicz fuzzy logic. Using the idea of Łukasiewicz t-norm, Jun [4] have constructed the concept of Lukasiewicz fuzzy sets based on a given fuzzy set and have applid it to BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. In this paper, we have introduced the notion of (closed) Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal in BCK/BCI-algebras and have investigated several properties. We have considered characterization of a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal, and have discussed the relationship between Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra and Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal. We have provided a condition for a Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebra to be a Lukasiewicz fuzzy ideal, and have explored conditions for the \in -set, q-set and O-set to be ideals. In the future, we will use Lukasiewicz fuzzy set to study the substructures of various algebraic systems based on the ideas and results of this paper. In particular, we will study the Lukasiewicz fuzzy set theory for the (n-fold) filters in EQ-algebras studied by Paad and Jafari (see [7]).

Acknowledgments

The author thanks anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

References

- 1. Y. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press: Beijing, China, 2006.
- 2. K. Iséki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125–130.
- K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1–26.
- Y. B. Jun, Lukasiewicz fuzzy subalgebras in BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. (2) 23 (2022), 213–223.
- Y. B. Jun, S. M. Hong, S. J. Kim and S. Z. Song, Fuzzy ideals and fuzzy subalgebras of BCK-algebras, J. Fuzzy Math. 7 (1999), 411–418.
- 6. J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras, Kyungmoonsa Co. Seoul, Korea 1994.
- A. Paad and A. Jafari, n-fold obstinate and n-fold fantastic (pre)filters of EQalgebras, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, (1) 9 (2021), 31–50.
- P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 571–599.
- 9. O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 36 (1991), 935–942.
- 10. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, (3) 8 (1965), 338–353.

Young Bae Jun

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.

Email: skywine@gmail.com

14