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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with non-zero identity, $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and let $M$ be an $R$-module. A submodule $N$ of $M$ with $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$ is said to be almost $S$-prime, if there exists an $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in N-\left(N:_{R} M\right) N$, then $s m \in N$ or $s r \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ for each $r \in R, m \in M$. The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate some properties of the notion of almost $S$-prime submodules, especially in multiplication modules. Moreover, we investigate the behaviour of this structure under module homomorphisms, localizations, quotient modules, Cartesian product. Finally, we state two kinds of submodules of the amalgamation module along an ideal and investigate conditions under which they are almost $S$ prime.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $R$ is a commutative ring with non-zero identity and $M$ is a unital $R$-module. The notion of prime submodules has an important place in commutative algebra and it is frequently used to classify the modules. There have been many generalizations of prime submodules, see for example, [6, 9, 10, 12]. Recently, the notion of $S$-prime submodules and generalizations of it have been introduced and studied in $[3,11,13,14,15,16]$. Here we introduce and study the notion of almost $S$-prime submodules of a module over a commutative ring. Various properties of such submodules are considered.

[^0]If $R$ is ring and $N$ a submodule of an $R$-module $M$, the ideal $\{r \in$ $R \mid r M \subseteq N\}$ will be denoted by $\left(N:_{R} M\right)$. Let $N$ be a submodule of $M$ and let $J$ be an ideal of $R$. Then the submodule $\{m \in M: m J \subseteq N\}$ will be denoted by $\left(N:_{M} J\right)$. A proper submodule $P$ of an $R$-module $M$ is said to be prime (primary), if $r m \in P$ for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $m \in P$ or $r \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ (resp. either $m \in P$ or $r^{n} \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ for some $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$. A proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called an almost prime submodule of $M$, if $a m \in N-(N: M) N$ for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $a \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ or $m \in N$, see [12]. A proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is almost prime, if it is an almost prime submodule of $R$ as an $R$-module. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ be a submodule of $M$ such that $N=I M$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$. Then we say that $I$ is a presentation ideal of $N$. An $R$-module $M$ is called a multiplication module, if for each submodule $N$ of $M, N=I M$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$. Let $N, K$ be submodules of a multiplication $R$-module $M$ with $N=I M$ and $K=J M$ for some ideals $I, J$ of $R$. The product $N$ and $K$ denoted by $N K$ is defined by $N K=I J M$. Consider a non-empty subset $S$ of $R$. Then $S$ is called a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ if (i) $0 \notin S$, (ii) $1 \in S$, and (iii) ss' $\in S$ for all $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ [17]. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $P$ a submodule of $M$ with $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then the submodule $P$ is called an $S$-prime (resp. $S$-primary) submodule of $M$, if there exists an $s \in S$ such that whenever $a m \in P$, then $s a \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in P\left(s a \in \sqrt{\left(P:_{R} M\right)}\right.$ or $s m \in P$ ) for each $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Particularly, an ideal $I$ of $R$ is called an $S$-prime (resp. $S$-primary) ideal of $R$ if $I$ is an $S$-prime (resp. $S$-primary) submodule of $R$-module $R$ see, [3, 15]. A submodule $P$ of $M$ is said to be an $S$-2-absorbing submodule if $\left(P:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$ and there exists an $s \in S$ such that whenever $a b m \in P$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that $s a b \in\left(P:_{R} M\right)$ or $s a m \in P$ or $s b m \in P$ [16]. A submodule $N$ of an $R$-module $M$ is said to be an $S$-1-absorbing prime submodule if $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$ and there exists an $s \in S$ such that whenever $a b m \in N$, then either $s a b \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in N$ for each non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ [11]. In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of almost $S$-prime submodules and we get some properties of them. For example, we show that if $N$ is an $S$-prime submodule of $M$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule, but the converse is not true in general, see Example 2.3. Also, we investigate the behaviour of almost $S$-prime submodules under homomorphism, in factor modules, and Cartesian products of modules. Also, we state two kinds of submodules of the amalgamation module along an ideal and investigate conditions under which they are almost $S$-prime.

## 2. Almost $S$-prime submodules

We begin with the definitions and relationships of the main concepts of the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then A submodule $N$ of $M$ with $\left(N:_{R}\right.$ $M) \cap S=\emptyset$ is called almost $S$-prime, if there exists an $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in N-\left(N:_{R} M\right) N$, then $s m \in N$ or $s r \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ for each $r \in R, m \in M$.

If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of an $R$-module $M$, then there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $r m \in N-\left(N:_{R} M\right) N$, then $s m \in N$ or $s r \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ for each $r \in R, m \in M$. Then we say that $s \in S$ is an almost $S$-element of $N$.

Remark 2.2. (i) Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Every almost prime submodule $N$ of $M$ with $\left(N:_{R}\right.$ $M) \cap S=\emptyset$ is also an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ consisting of units in $R$. Then a submodule $N$ of $M$ is almost prime if and only if $N$ is almost $S$-prime.

Every $S$-prime submodule is an almost $S$-prime submodule, but the converse is not true in general. See the following example.

Example 2.3. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ and the submodule $N=\langle\overline{8}\rangle$. Take the multiplicatively close subset $S=\mathbb{Z}-2 \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\left(N:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right)=8 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\left(N:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right) N=N$. Then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ since $N-(N: M)=\emptyset$. But $N$ is not an $S$-prime submodule of $M$, because $2 \times \overline{4} \in N$, but for any $s \in S, s \times \overline{4} \notin N$ and $s \times 2 \notin\left(N:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ with $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) There exists $s \in S$ such that $\left(N:_{M} a\right)=\left((N: M) N:_{M} a\right)$ or $\left(N:_{M} a\right) \subseteq\left(N:_{M} s\right)$ for each $a \notin\left(N:_{R} s M\right)$.
(iii) There exists $s \in S$ such that for any $a \in R$ and for any submodule $K$ of $M$, if $a K \subseteq N-(N: M) N$, then $s a \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ or $s K \subseteq N$.
(iv) There exists $s \in S$ such that for any ideal $I$ of $R$ and any submodule $K$ of $M$, if $I K \subseteq N-(N: M) N$, then $s I \subseteq(N: M)$ or $s K \subseteq N$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ Let $s \in S$ be an almost $S$-element of $N$ and $a \notin\left(N:_{R}\right.$ $s M)$. Let $m \in\left(N:_{M} a\right)$. If $a m \in(N: M) N$, then $m \in\left((N: M) N:_{M}\right.$ $a)$. If $a m \notin(N: M) N$, then $s m \in N$ since $N$ is almost $S$-prime in $M$. Thus $m \in\left(N:_{M} s\right)$ and so $\left(N:_{M} a\right) \subseteq\left((N: M) N:_{M} a\right) \cup\left(N:_{M} s\right)$. Since $\left((N: M) N:_{M} a\right) \subseteq\left(N:_{M} a\right)$, so $\left(N:_{M} a\right)=\left((N: M) N:_{M} a\right)$ or $\left(N:_{M} a\right) \subseteq\left(N:_{M} s\right)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Choose $s \in S$ as in (ii). Suppose $a K \subseteq N$ and $a K \nsubseteq$ $(N: M) N$ and $s a \notin\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ for some $a \in R$ and a submodule $K$ of $M$. Then $K \subseteq\left(N:_{M} a\right)$ and $K \nsubseteq\left((N: M) N:_{M} a\right)$, so by $(i i)$, we get $K \subseteq\left(N:_{M} a\right) \subseteq\left(N:_{M} s\right)$. Thus $s K \subseteq N$, as required.
(iii) $\Rightarrow(i v)$ Choose $s \in S$ as in (iii) and let $I K \subseteq N-(N: M) N$ and $s I \nsubseteq(N: M)$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$ and a submodule $K$ of $M$. Then there exists $a \in I$ with $s a \notin(N: M)$. If $a K \nsubseteq(N: M) N$, then by (iii), we have $s K \subseteq N$, as needed. Assume that $a K \subseteq(N: M) N$. Since $I K \nsubseteq(N: M) N$, then there exists $b \in I$ with $b K \nsubseteq(N: M) N$, if $s b \notin(N: M)$, then from (iii), we have $s K \subseteq N$. Now assume that $s b \in(N: M)$. Thus $s(a+b) \notin(N: M)$, because if $s(a+b)=s a+s b \in$ $(N: M)$, then since $s b \in(N: M)$, we conclude $s a \notin(N: M)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $(a+b) K \subseteq N-(N: M) N$ implies $s K \subseteq I$ again by (iii), we are done.
$(i v) \Rightarrow(i)$ Let $a \in R, m \in M$ with $a m \in N-(N: M) N$. The result follows directly by taking $I=a R$ and $K=\langle m\rangle$.

Lemma 2.5. [1] For an ideal $I$ of a ring $R$ and a submodule $N$ of a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module $M$, the following statements are true.
(i) $\left(I N:_{R} M\right)=I\left(N:_{R} M\right)$.
(ii) If I is a finitely generated faithful multiplication ideal of $R$, then (a) $\left(I N:_{M} I\right)=N$.
(b) whenever $N \subseteq I M$, then $\left(J N:_{M} I\right)=J\left(N:_{M} I\right)$ for any ideal $J$ of $R$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $M$ be a faithful multiplication $R$-module and let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) $N \cap S M=\emptyset$ and there exists $s \in S$ such that whenever $K, L$ are submodules of $M$ and $K L \subseteq N-(N: M) N$, then $s K \subseteq N$ or $s L \subseteq N$.

Proof. Clearly, $N \cap S M=\emptyset$ if and only if $(N: M) \cap S=\emptyset$.
(i) $\Rightarrow($ ii) Let $I$ be a presentation ideal of $K$ and $s$ be an $S$-element of $N$. Then $I L \subseteq N-(N: M) N$ gives that either $s I \subseteq(N: M)$
or $s L \subseteq N$ by Theorem 2.4. Hence $s K=s I M \subseteq N$ or $s L \subseteq N$, as needed.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ Let $s \in S$ be as (ii) and suppose $I L \subseteq N-(N: M) N$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$ and submodule $L$ of $M$. Put $K=I M$ and assume that $s L \nsubseteq N$. Then $K L \subseteq N-(N: M) N$, implies $s K \subseteq N$. Therefore $s K=s I M \subseteq N$, so $s I \subseteq(N: M)$ and the result follows by Theorem 2.4.

In the following example, we show that if $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, than $(N: M)$ need not be an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$.

Example 2.7. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ and the multiplicatively closed subset $S=\left\{5^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $N=\overline{0}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, but $(N: M)=6 \mathbb{Z}$ is not an almost $S$-prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$, because $2 \times 3 \in(N: M)-(N: M)^{2}$, but $s \times 2 \notin(N: M)$ and $s \times 3 \notin(N: M)$ for any $s \in S$.

Now, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.8. Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. The following statements hold.
(i) If $M$ is a finitely generated faithful multiplication module and $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, then $(N: M)$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$.
(ii) If $M$ is a multiplication module and $(N: M)$ is an almost $S$ prime ideal of $R$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(iii) If $M$ is a finitely generated faithful multiplication module and I is an ideal of $R$, then $I$ is almost $S$-prime in $R$ if and only if $I M$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. (i) Let $a b \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)-\left(N:_{R} M\right)^{2}$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then $a b M \subseteq N$ and $a b M \nsubseteq(N: M) N$. If $a b M \subseteq(N: M) N$, so $a b \in((N:$ $M) N: M)=(N: M)(N: M)$ by Lemma 2.5 and so $a b \in(N: M)^{2}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $a b M \subseteq N-(N: M) N$, so there exists $s \in S$ such that $s b M \subseteq N$ or $s a \in(N: M)$. Therefore $s b \in(N: M)$ or $s a \in(N: M)$, as needed.
(ii) We first note that $\left(N:_{R} M\right)^{2} \subseteq\left(\left(N:_{R} M\right) N:_{R} M\right)$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ and $K$ be a submodule of $M$ with $I K \subseteq N-(N: M) N$. Since $M$ is a multiplication module, we may write $K=J M$ for some ideal $J$ of $R$. Thus $I J \subseteq(N: M)-(N: M)^{2}$, because if $I J \subseteq$ $(N: M)^{2} \subseteq\left(\left(N:_{R} M\right) N:_{R} M\right)$, then $I K=I J M \subseteq\left(N:_{R} M\right) N$, a contradiction. Thus there exists $s \in S$ such that $s I \subseteq(N: M)$ or
$s J \subseteq(N: M)$. Hence $s I \subseteq(N: M)$ or $s K=s J M \subseteq(N: M) M=N$. Therefore $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(iii) Since $\left(I M:_{R} M\right)=I$, the result follows from (i) and (ii).

As $N=(N: M) M$ for any submodule $N$ of a multiplication $R$ module $M$, we have the following consequence of Theorem 2.8.
Corollary 2.9. Let $M$ be a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module and let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. For a submodule $N$ of $M$ the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) $\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$.
(iii) $N=I M$ for some almost $S$-prime ideal $I$ of $R$.

Proposition 2.10. Let I be a finitely generated faithful multiplication ideal of $R$ and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. For a submodule $N$ of a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module $M$ the following assertions are true.
(i) If $I N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ and $(N: M) \cap S=$ $\emptyset$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of IM, then $\left(N:_{M} I\right)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
Proof. (i) Let $s \in S$ be an almost $S$-element of $I N$. Let $a \in R, m \in M$ such that $a m \in N-(N: M) N$ and $s a \notin(N: M)$. Thus Iam $\subseteq I N$ and $\operatorname{Iam} \nsubseteq\left(I N:_{R} M\right)(I N)$, because if $\operatorname{Iam} \subseteq\left(I N:_{R} M\right)(I N)$. Hence $a m \in\left(\left(N:_{R} M\right)(I N):_{M} I\right)$. Set $(N: M)=J$ and by Lemma $2.5(i i)(b)$, $a m \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)\left(I N:_{M} I\right)$ since $I N \subseteq I M$, so by Lemma $2.5(i i)(a), a m \in\left(N:_{R} M\right) N$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $a(I m) \subseteq I N-\left(I N:_{R} M\right)(I N)$ since clearly, sa $\notin\left(I N:_{R} M\right)$ and $I N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule, $s I m \subseteq I N$. Hence $s m \in\left(I N:_{M}\right.$ $I)=N$ by Lemma 2.5. Thus $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of M.
(ii) Suppose that $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $I M$ with an almost $S$-element $s \in S$. Then $\left(\left(N:_{M} I\right):_{R} M\right) \cap S=\left(N:_{R} I M\right) \cap S=$ $\emptyset$. Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a m \in\left(N:_{M} I\right)-\left(\left(N:_{M} I\right):_{R} M\right)\left(N:_{M}\right.$ $I)$ and $s a \notin\left(\left(N:_{M} I\right):_{R} M\right)=\left(N:_{R} I M\right)$. If $a m I \subseteq\left(N:_{R} I M\right) N$, then $a m \in\left(\left(N:_{R} I M\right) N:_{M} I\right)=\left(N:_{R} I M\right)\left(N:_{M} I\right)$ by Lemma 2.5, which is a contradiction. Thus $a m I \subseteq N-\left(N:_{R} I M\right) N$. Since sa $\notin\left(N:_{R} I M\right)$ and $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $I M$, we conclude $s m I \subseteq N$, so $s m \in\left(N:_{M} I\right)$, as needed.
Proposition 2.11. Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, then $S^{-1} N$ is an almost prime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

Proof. Suppose that $s \in S$ be an almost $S$-element of $N$. Let $\frac{r}{s_{1}} \cdot \frac{m}{s_{2}} \in$ $S^{-1} N-\left(S^{-1} N: S^{-1} R S^{-1} M\right) S^{-1} N$ for some $\frac{r}{s_{1}} \in S^{-1} R$ and $\frac{m}{s_{2}} \in S^{-1} M$. Since $\frac{r}{s_{1}} \cdot \frac{m}{s_{2}} \in S^{-1} N$, then there exists $t \in S$ such that trm $\in N$. If $\operatorname{trm} \in(N: M) N$, then $\frac{r m}{s_{1} s_{2}}=\frac{t r m}{t s_{1} s_{2}} \in S^{-1}((N: M) N)=S^{-1}(N:$ $M) S^{-1} N \subseteq\left(S^{-1} N:_{S^{-1} R} S^{-1} M\right) S^{-1} N$, which is a contradiction. Thus trm $\in N-(N: M) N$ and so str $\in(N: M)$ or $s m \in N$ since $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule. Hence $\frac{r}{s_{1}}=\frac{s t r}{s t s_{1}} \in S^{-1}(N: M) \subseteq$ $\left(S^{-1} N:_{S^{-1} R} S^{-1} M\right)$ or $\frac{m}{s_{2}}=\frac{s m}{s s_{2}} \in S^{-1} N$ and so $S^{-1} N$ is an almost prime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

The converse of previous theorem is not true in general, see the following example.

Example 2.12. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M=\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, where $\mathbb{Q}$ is the field of rational numbers. Take the multiplicatively closed subset $S=\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ and the submodule $N=\mathbb{Z} \times\{0\}$. It is clear that $(N: \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q})=0$. Let $s$ be an arbitrary element of $S$. Choose a prime number $p$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p, s)=1$. Then $p\left(\frac{1}{p}, 0\right)=(1,0) \in N-\left(N:_{\mathbb{Z}} M\right) N$. Since $s\left(\frac{1}{p}, 0\right) \notin N$ and $s p \notin(N: \mathbb{Z} M)$, it follows that $N$ is not an almost $S$-prime submodule. Since $S^{-1} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Q}$ is a field, $S^{-1}(\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q})$ is a vector space so that the proper submodule $S^{-1} N$ is an almost prime submodule of $S^{-1}(\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 2.13. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $S, T$ be two multiplicatively closed subsets of $R$ with $S \subseteq T$. If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ and $(N: M) \cap T=\emptyset$, then $N$ is an almost $T$-prime submodule of $M$.

Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. The saturation of $S$ is the set $S^{*}=\{x \in R \mid x y \in S$, forsome $y \in R\}$. It is clear that $S^{*}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and that $S \subseteq S^{*}$.

Proposition 2.14. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring $R$ and $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ such that $(N: M) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ if and only if $N$ is an almost $S^{*}$-prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Let $N$ be an almost $S^{*}$-prime submodule of $M$ with an almost $S^{*}$-element $s^{*} \in S^{*}$. Choose $r \in R$ such that $s=s^{*} r \in S$. Suppose $a m \in N-(N: M) N$ for some $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then either $s^{*} a \in(N: M)$ or $s^{*} m \in N$. Thus $s a \in(N: M)$ or $s m \in N$ and we are done. Conversely, suppose that $N$ is almost $S^{*}$-prime. By using Remark 2.13, it is enough to prove that $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S^{*}=\emptyset$. Let
there exists $s^{*} \in\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S^{*}$. Then there is $r \in R$ such that $s=s^{*} r \in(N: M) \cap S$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.15. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring $R$. If $I$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$ and $I^{2}$ is an $S$-prime ideal of $R$, then $\sqrt{I}$ is an $S$-prime ideal of $R$.
Proof. Suppose that $I$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal with an almost $S$ element $s_{1} \in S$ and $I^{2}$ is an $S$-prime ideal with an $S$-element $s_{2} \in S$. Since $I \cap S=\emptyset$, we get $\sqrt{I} \cap S=\emptyset$. Let $a, b \in R$ with $a b \in \sqrt{I}$. Then $(a b)^{n}=a^{n} b^{n} \in I$ for some positive integer $n$. If $a^{n} b^{n} \notin I^{2}$, then we have $s_{1} a^{n} \in I$ or $s_{1} b^{n} \in I$ that is $s_{1} a \in \sqrt{I}$ or $s_{1} b \in \sqrt{I}$. If $a^{n} b^{n} \in I^{2}$, then by assumption, either $s_{2} a^{n} \in I^{2}$ or $s_{2} b^{n} \in I^{2}$, and so $s_{2} a \in \sqrt{I}$ or $s_{2} b \in \sqrt{I}$. Thus $\sqrt{I}$ is an $S$-prime ideal of $R$ associated with $s=s_{1} s_{2}$.

Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$. The radical of $N$ is the intersection of all prime submodules of $M$ containing of $N$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Rad}(N)$.

Proposition 2.16. Let $M$ be a finitely generated faithful multiplication $R$-module and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ and $(N: M)^{2}$ is an $S$-prime ideal of $R$, then $\operatorname{Rad}(N)$ is an $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
Proof. By [1, Lemma 2.4], we have $(\operatorname{Rad}(N): M)=\sqrt{(N: M)}$. Since $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M,(N: M)$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$ by Theorem 2.9. By Lemma 2.15, $\sqrt{(N: M)}$ is an $S$-prime ideal of $R$. Thus the claim follows from [15, Proposition 2.9].
Proposition 2.17. Let $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a module homomorphism where $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are two $R$-modules and $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $f$ is an epimorphism and $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ containing $\operatorname{Ker}(f)$, then $f(N)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M^{\prime}$.
(ii) If $f$ is a monomorphism and $K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M^{\prime}$ with $\left(f^{-1}(K):_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.
Proof. (i) First we claim that $\left(f(N): M^{\prime}\right) \cap S=\emptyset$, because otherwise if $t \in\left(f(N): M^{\prime}\right) \cap S$, then $f(t M) \stackrel{R}{=} t f(M)=t M^{\prime} \subseteq f(N)$, and so $t M \subseteq N$ as $\operatorname{Kerf} \subseteq N$. It follows that $t \in(N: M) \cap S$, which is a contradiction. Let $s$ be an almost $S$-element of $N$ and $a \in R, m^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ with $a m^{\prime} \in f(N)-\left(f(N):_{R} M^{\prime}\right) f(N)$. Then $m^{\prime}=f(m)$ for some
$m \in M$ and $a f(m)=f(a m) \in f(N)-\left(f(N): M^{\prime}\right) f(N)$ and since $\operatorname{Ker}(f) \subseteq N$, we have $a m \in N$. If $a m \in(N: M) N$, then $f(a m) \in$ $f((N: M) N)=\left(N:_{R} M\right) f(N)=\left(f(N):_{R} M^{\prime}\right) f(N)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $a m \in N-(N: M) N$. Hence $s a \in\left(N:_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in N$ and so $s a \in\left(f(N):_{R} M^{\prime}\right)$ or $s m^{\prime}=s f(m) \in f(N)$. Therefore, $f(N)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M^{\prime}$.
(ii) Let $s$ be an almost $S$-element of $K$ and let $a \in R, m \in M$ with $a m \in f^{-1}(K)-\left(f^{-1}(K):_{R} M\right) f^{-1}(K)$. Then $f(a m) \in K$. Since $f$ is monomorphism, it is clear that $f(a m) \notin\left(K:_{R} M^{\prime}\right) K$. Thus $a f(m) \in$ $K-\left(K:_{R} M^{\prime}\right) K$. Since $K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M^{\prime}$, we have $s a \in\left(K:_{R} M^{\prime}\right)$ or $s f(m) \in K$. Thus clearly, $s a \in\left(f^{-1}(K):_{R} M\right)$ or $s m \in f^{-1}(K)$. Hence $f^{-1}(K)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

Corollary 2.18. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $N, K$ be two submodules of an $R$-module $M$ with $K \subseteq N$.
(i) If $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, then $N / K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M / K$.
(ii) If $K^{\prime}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ with $\left(K^{\prime}:_{R} N\right) \cap$ $S=\emptyset$, then $K^{\prime} \cap N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $N$.
(iii) If $N / K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M / K$ and $K \subseteq(N$ : $M) N$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Note that $\left(N / K:_{R} M / K\right) \cap S=\emptyset$ if and only if $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=$ $\emptyset$.
(i) Consider the canonical epimorphism $\pi: M \longrightarrow M / K$ defined by $\pi(m)=m+K$. Then $\pi(N)=N / K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M / K$ by Proposition 2.17(i).
(ii) Let $K^{\prime}$ be an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ and consider the natural injection $i: N \longrightarrow M$ defined by $i(m)=m$ for all $m \in N$. Then $\left(i^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right):_{R} N\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Indeed, if $s \in\left(i^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right):_{R} N\right) \cap S$, then $s N \subseteq i^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K^{\prime} \cap N \subseteq K^{\prime}$ and so $s \in\left(K^{\prime}: N\right) \cap S$, a contradiction. Thus $i^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K^{\prime} \cap N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $N$ by Proposition 2.17(ii).
(iii) Let $a m \in N-(N: M) N$ for some $a \in R, m \in M$. Then $a(m+K) \in N / K$ and $a(m+K) \notin\left(N / K:_{R} M / K\right) N / K$, because otherwise if

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(m+K) \in(N / K: M / K) N / K & =(N: M)(N / K) \\
& =((N: M) N+K) / K \\
& =((N: M) N) / K
\end{aligned}
$$

since $K \subseteq(N: M) N$. Thus $a m+K \in((N: M) N) / K$ and so $a m \in(N: M) N$, which is a contradiction. Therefore

$$
a(m+K) \in N / K-\left(N / K:_{R} M / K\right) N / K
$$

Hence, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s a \in(N / K: M / K)$ or $s(m+K) \in$ $N / K$. So $s a \in(N: M)$ or $s m \in N$. Thus $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

The next example shows that the converse of $(i)$ in Corollary 2.18 is not valid in general.

Example 2.19. Consider the ring $R=K[x, y]$ where $K$ is a field and consider the multiplicatively closed subset $S=K-\{0\}$. Take the ideals $P=\left(x, y^{2}\right)$ and $I=(x, y)^{2}$. Then $P / I$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of the $R$-module $R / I$, while $P$ is not an almost $S$-prime submodule of $R$-module $R$.

Proposition 2.20. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $N$ be an almost $S$-prime submodule of an $R$-module $M$ and $K \subseteq(N$ : $M) N$ such that $((N+K) \underset{R}{:} M) \cap S=\emptyset$. Then $N+K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.18(i), $N /(N \cap K)$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M /(N \cap K)$. Now, from the module isomorphism $N /(N \cap K) \cong$ $(N+K) / K$, we conclude that $(N+K) / K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M / K$. Now since $K \subseteq(N: M) N$, so $K \subseteq((N+K): M)(N+K)$. Thus by Corollary $2.18(i i i), N+K$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

Let $M_{i}$ be an $R_{i}$-module for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $M=M_{1} \times M_{2} \times \cdots \times M_{n}$ and $R=R_{1} \times R_{2} \times \cdots \times R_{n}$. Then $M$ is clearly an $R$-module with componentwise addition and multiplication. Also, if $S_{i}$ is a multiplicatively closed of $R_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then $S=S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots \times S_{n}$ is a multiplicatively closed of $R$. Furthermore, each submodule of $M$ is of the form $N=N_{1} \times N_{2} \times \cdots \times N_{n}$ where $N_{i}$ is a submodule of $M_{i}$.

Theorem 2.21. Let $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ be an $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$-module and $S=S_{1} \times S_{2}$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ where $M_{i}$ is an $R_{i}$ module and $S_{i}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{i}$, for each $i=1,2$. Then if $N=N_{1} \times N_{2}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, then one of the following cases are true.
(i) $N_{1}$ is an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap$ $S_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
(ii) $N_{2}$ is an almost $S_{2}$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$ and $\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap$ $S_{1} \neq \emptyset$.
(iii) $N_{1}$ is an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is an almost $S_{2}$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $N=N_{1} \times N_{2}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$. First, note that

$$
\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \times\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2}=\emptyset .
$$

Hence $\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1}=\emptyset$ or $\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$. Suppose that $\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. We show that $N_{2}$ is an almost $S_{2}$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$. Let $r_{2} m_{2} \in N_{2}-\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) N_{2}$ where $r_{2} \in R_{2}$ and $m_{2} \in M_{2}$. Then

$$
\left(0, r_{2}\right)\left(0, m_{2}\right) \in N_{1} \times N_{2}-\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}:_{R} M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$, there exists $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$ such that $s\left(0, r_{2}\right) \in\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}:_{R} M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$ or $s\left(0, m_{2}\right) \in$ $N_{1} \times N_{2}$. Hence $s_{2} r_{2} \in\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right)$ or $s_{2} m_{2} \in N_{2}$, as needed. If $\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$, similarly, $N_{1}$ is an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$. Now assume that $\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S_{1}=\emptyset$ and $\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$. We show that $N_{1}$ is an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is an almost $S_{2}$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$. Let $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in S$ be an almost $S$-element of $N$. Assume that $N_{1}$ is not an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$, Then there exist $a_{1} \in R_{1}, m_{1} \in M_{1}$ such that $a_{1} m_{1} \in N_{1}-\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) N_{1}$ but $s_{1} a_{1} \notin\left(N_{1}: M_{1}\right)$ and $s_{1} m_{1} \notin N_{1}$. Since $\left(N_{2}: M_{2}\right) \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$, so $s_{2} \notin\left(N_{2}: M_{2}\right)$. Hence there exists $m_{2} \in M_{2}$ such that $s_{2} m_{2} \notin N_{2}$. Whereas $\left(a_{1}, 0\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in N-(N: M) N$, hence $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}, 0\right) \in(N: M)$ or $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in N$. Therefore, $s_{1} a_{1} \in\left(N_{1}: M_{1}\right)$ or $s_{2} m_{2} \in N_{2}$ which both them are contradictions. Hence, $N_{1}$ is an almost $S_{1}$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$. Similar argument shows that $N_{2}$ is an almost $S_{2}$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.

Let $R$ be a ring and $M$ be an $R$-module. Recall that the idealization of $M$ in $R$ denoted by $R(+) M$ is the commutative ring with cordinatewise addition and multiplication defined as

$$
\left(r_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(r_{2}, m_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1} r_{2}, r_{1} m_{2}+r_{2} m_{1}\right)
$$

It is well known that for an ideal $I$ of $R$ and a submodule $N$ of $M$, the set $I(+) N$ is not always an ideal of $R(+) M$ and it is an ideal if and only if $I M \subseteq N$, see [2]. It is clear that if $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ and $K$ a submodule of $M$, then $S(+) K=\{(s, k) \mid s \in S$ and $k \in K\}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R(+) M$.

Theorem 2.22. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R,I be an ideal of $R$ and $K \subseteq N$ be submodules of an $R$-module $M$ with $I M \subseteq N$. If $I(+) N$ is an almost $S(+) K$-prime ideal of $R(+) M$, then $I$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$ and $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$ whenever $\left(N:_{R} M\right) \cap S=\emptyset$.

Proof. Note that $(S(+) K) \cap(I(+) N)=\emptyset$ if and only if $S \cap I=\emptyset$. Let $a b \in I-I^{2}$. Then $(a, 0)(b, 0) \in I(+) N-(I(+) N)^{2}$, if $(a b, 0) \in$ $(I(+) N)^{2}$, then it is clear that $(I(+) N)^{2} \subseteq I^{2}(+) I N$, so $(a b, 0) \in$ $I^{2}(+) I N$ implies that $a b \in I^{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus there exists $(s, k) \in S(+) K$ such that $(s, k)(a, 0) \in I(+) N$ or $(s, k)(b, 0) \in$ $I(+) N$ since $I(+) N$ is an almost $S(+) K$-prime ideal of $R(+) M$. Therefore $s a \in I$ or $s b \in I$. So $I$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of $R$. Now let $a m \in N-(N: M) N$ for some $a \in R$ and $m \in M$. Thus $(a, 0)(0, m) \in I(+) N$. If $(0, a m) \in(I(+) N)^{2} \subseteq I^{2}(+) I N$, then $a m \in$ $I N \subseteq(N: M) N$, because $I M \subseteq N$, which is a contradiction. Hence $(a, 0)(0, m) \notin I(+) N-(I(+) N)^{2}$. Since $I(+) N$ is an almost $S(+) K-$ prime ideal of $R(+) M$, then $(s, k)(a, 0) \in I(+) N$ or $(s, k)(0, m) \in$ $I(+) N$ for some $(s, k) \in S(+) K$. Thus $s a \in I \subseteq(N: M)$ or $s m \in N$ and so $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

In general if $I$ is an almost $S$-prime ideal of a ring $R$ and $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of an $R$-module $M$, then $I(+) N$ need not be an almost $S(+) K$-prime ideal of $R(+) M$.

Example 2.23. Consider the multiplicatively closed subset $S=\left\{3^{n} \mid n \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that 0 is $S$-prime in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle\overline{2}\rangle$ is almost $S$-prime in the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$, but the ideal $0(+)\langle\overline{2}\rangle$ is not almost $S(+) \overline{0}$-prime in $\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{6}$. Indeed $(0, \overline{1})(2, \overline{1})=(0, \overline{2}) \in 0(+)\langle\overline{2}\rangle-(0(+)\langle\overline{2}\rangle)^{2}$ but $(s, \overline{0})(0, \overline{1}) \notin 0(+)\langle\overline{2}\rangle$ and $(s, \overline{0})(2, \overline{1}) \notin 0(+)\langle\overline{2}\rangle$ for all $s \in S$.

Let $R$ be a ring, $J$ an ideal of $R$ and $M$ an $R$-module. We recall that the set $R \bowtie J=\{(r, r+j): r \in R, j \in J\}$ is a subring of $R \times R$ called the amalgamated duplication of $R$ along $J$, see [5]. Recently, in [4], the duplication of the $R$-module $M$ along the ideal $J$ denoted by $M \bowtie J$ is defined as $M \bowtie J=\left\{\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \in M \times M: m-m^{\prime} \in J M\right\}$ which is an $R \bowtie J$-module with scalar multiplication defined by $(r, r+j)\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(r m,(r+j) m^{\prime}\right)$ for $r \in R, j \in J$ and $\left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \in M \bowtie J$.

Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ and $J$ be an ideal of R. Then $N \bowtie J=\{(n, m) \in N \times M: n-m \in J M\}$ and $\bar{N}=$ $\{(m, n) \in M \times N: m-n \in J M\}$ are submodules of $M \bowtie J$. If $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, then obviously, the sets $S \bowtie J=\{(s, s+j): s \in S, j \in J\}$ and $\bar{S}=\{(r, r+j): r+j \in S\}$ are multiplicatively closed subsets of $R \bowtie J$.

In general, let $f: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ be a ring homomorphism, $J$ be an ideal of $R_{2}, M_{1}$ be an $R_{1}$-module and $M_{2}$ be an $R_{2}$-module and $\varphi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be an $R_{1}$-homomorphism. The subring

$$
R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J=\left\{(r, f(r)+j): r \in R_{1}, j \in J\right\}
$$

of $R_{1} \times R_{2}$ is called the amalgamation of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ along $J$ with respect to $f$. In [8], the amalgamation of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ along $J$ with respect to $\varphi$ is defined as

$$
M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+m_{2}\right): m_{1} \in M_{1} \text { and } m_{2} \in J M_{2}\right\}
$$

which is an $R \bowtie^{f} J$-module with the scalar product defined as
$(r, f(r)+j)\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+m_{2}\right)=\left(r m_{1}, \varphi\left(r m_{1}\right)+f(r) m_{2}+j \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+j m_{2}\right)$.
For submodules $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively, clearly the sets

$$
N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+m_{2}\right) \in M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}: m_{1} \in N_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+m_{2}\right) \in M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}: \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)+m_{2} \in N_{2}\right\}
$$

are submodules of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$. Moreover, if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are multiplicatively closed subsets of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, respectively, then $S_{1} \bowtie J=$ $\left\{\left(s_{1}, f\left(s_{1}\right)+j\right): s \in S_{1}, j \in J\right\}$ and $\bar{S}_{2}{ }^{\varphi}=\{(r, f(r)+j): r \in$ $\left.R_{1}, f(r)+j \in S_{2}\right\}$ are multiplicatively closed subsets of $R \bowtie^{f} J$.

Note that if $R=R_{1}=R_{2}, M=M_{1}=M_{2}, f=I d_{R}$ and $\varphi=I d_{M}$, then the amalgamation of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ along $J$ with respect to $\varphi$ is exactly the duplication of the $R$-module $M$ along the ideal $J$. In this case, we have $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}=N_{1} \bowtie J, \bar{N}_{2}{ }^{\varphi}=\bar{N}, S_{1} \bowtie^{f} J=S \bowtie J$ and $\bar{S}_{2}{ }^{\varphi}=\bar{S}$.

Theorem 2.24. Consider the $R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$-module $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ defined as above. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{1}$ and $N_{1}$ be a submodule of $M_{1}$. Then if $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ is an almost $S \bowtie^{f} J$ - prime submodule of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$, then $N_{1}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}:_{R_{1} \bowtie f J} M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right) \cap\left(S \bowtie^{f}\right.$ $J)=\emptyset$ if and only if $\left(N_{1}:_{R_{1}} M_{1}\right) \cap S=\emptyset$. Let $(s, f(s)+j)$ is an almost $S \bowtie^{f} J$-element of $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$. Let $r_{1} m_{1} \in N_{1}-\left(N_{1}: M_{1}\right) N_{1}$ for some $r_{1} \in R_{1}$ and $m_{1} \in M_{1}$. Thus $\left(r_{1}, f\left(r_{1}\right)\right)\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\left(r_{1} m_{1}, \varphi\left(r_{1} m_{1}\right)\right) \in N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$. It is clear that $\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}:_{R \bowtie f J}\right.$ $\left.M_{1} \bowtie^{f} J M_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right) \subseteq\left(N_{1}: M_{1}\right) N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$. Hence we have $\left(r_{1}, f\left(r_{1}\right)\right)\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)\right) \in N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}-\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}:_{R \bowtie f J} M_{1} \bowtie^{f}\right.$ $\left.J M_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right)$. Thus either $(s, f(s)+j)\left(r_{1}, f\left(r_{1}\right)\right) \in\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi}\right.$
$\left.J M_{2}:_{R \bowtie f J} M_{1} \bowtie^{f} J M_{2}\right)\left(N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right)$ or $(s, f(s)+j)\left(m_{1}, \varphi\left(m_{1}\right)\right) \in$ $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ since $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ is an almost $S \bowtie^{f} J$ - prime submodule of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$. In the first case, for all $m \in M_{1},(s, f(s)+$ $j)\left(r_{1}, f\left(r_{1}\right)\right)(m, \varphi(m)) \in N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$, so $s r_{1} \in\left(N_{1}: M_{1}\right)$. In the second case, $s m_{1} \in N_{1}$ and so $N_{1}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$.
Corollary 2.25. Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$, $J$ be an ideal of $R$ and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then if $N \bowtie J$ is an almost $S \bowtie J$-prime submodule of $M \bowtie J$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

In particular, if $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{1}$, then $S \times f(S)$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$. Moreover, one can similarly prove Theorem 2.24 if we consider $S \times f(S)$ instead of $S \bowtie^{f} J$.

Corollary 2.26. Consider the $R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$-module $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ defined as above of Theorem 2.24, and let $N_{1}$ be a submodule of $M_{1}$. If $N_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ is an almost prime submodule of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$, then $N_{1}$ is an almost prime submodule of $M_{1}$.
Proof. We take $S=\left\{1_{R_{1}}\right\}$. So $S \times f(S)=\left\{\left(1_{R_{1}}, 1_{R_{2}}\right)\right\}$ and apply Theorem 2.24.

Theorem 2.27. Consider the $R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$-module $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ defined as above of Theorem 2.24 where $f$ and $\varphi$ are epimorphisms. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R_{2}$ and $N_{2}$ be a submodule of $M_{2}$. Then if $\bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}$ is an almost $\bar{S}^{\varphi}$-prime submodule of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$, then $N_{2}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.
Proof. Let $(t, f(t)+j)=(t, s)$ be an almost $\bar{S}^{\varphi}$-element of $\bar{N}_{2}{ }^{\varphi}$. Let $r_{2}=f\left(r_{1}\right) \in R_{2}$ and $m_{2}=\varphi\left(m_{1}\right) \in M_{2}$ such that $r_{2} m_{2} \in N_{2}-\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}}\right.$ $\left.M_{2}\right) N_{2}$. Thus $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \in R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ with $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}-\left(\bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}:_{R_{1} \bowtie f J} M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right) \bar{N}_{2}{ }^{\varphi}$. Hence either $(t, s)\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \in\left(\bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}:_{R_{1} \bowtie f J} M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}\right)$ or $(t, s)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}$. In the first case, for all $m=\varphi\left(m^{\prime}\right) \in M_{2},\left(t r_{1}, s r_{2}\right)\left(m^{\prime}, m\right) \in \bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}$. Hence $s r_{2} m \in N_{2}$ and then $s r_{2} \in\left(N_{2}:_{R_{2}} M_{2}\right)$. In the second case, we have $s m_{2} \in N_{2}$ and so $N_{2}$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.
Corollary 2.28. Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$, $J$ be an ideal of $R$ and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Then if $\bar{N}$ is an almost $\bar{S}$-prime submodule of $M \bowtie J$, then $N$ is an almost $S$-prime submodule of $M$.

In particular, if we consider $S=\left\{1_{R_{2}}\right\}$ and take $T=\left\{\left(1_{R_{1}}, 1_{R_{2}}\right)\right\}$ instead of $\bar{S}^{\varphi}$ in Theorem 2.27, then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.29. Consider the $R_{1} \bowtie^{f} J$-module $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$ defined as above of Theorem 2.24 where $f$ and $\varphi$ are epimorphisms and let $N_{2}$ be a submodule of $M_{2}$. Then $N_{2}$ is a strongly prime submodule of $M_{2}$ if and only if $\bar{N}_{2}^{\varphi}$ is a strongly prime submodule of $M_{1} \bowtie^{\varphi} J M_{2}$.

## 3. Conclusions

In this article, we introduced the concept of almost $S$-prime submodules as a generalization of prime submodules. We showed the concept of almost prime submodules is different from the concept of almost $S$ prime submodules. Several properties, examples and characterizations of almost $S$-prime submodules have been investigated. Moreover, we investigated the properties and the behaviour of this structure under ring homomorphisms, Cartesian product and idealizations. Finally, we stated two kind of submodules of the amalgamation module along an ideal and investigate conditions under which they are almost $S$-prime.
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