

STRONGLY ψ -2-ABSORBING SECOND SUBMODULES

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY, F. FARSHADIFAR* AND S. MALEKI-ROUDPOSHT

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be an R -module. Let $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $S(M)$ denotes the set of all submodules of M . The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodules of M as a generalization of strongly 2-absorbing second and ψ -second submodules of M .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers. We will denote the set of ideals of R by $S(R)$ and the set of all submodules of M by $S(M)$, where M is an R -module.

Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [7]. A non-zero submodule S of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or zero [9, 11]. Let $\phi : S(R) \rightarrow S(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Anderson and Bataineh in [1] defined the notation of ϕ -prime ideals as follows: a proper ideal P of R is ϕ -*prime* if for $r, s \in R$, $rs \in P \setminus \phi(P)$ implies that $r \in P$ or $s \in P$ [1]. In [12], the author extended this concept to prime submodule. For a function $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$, a proper submodule N of M is called ϕ -*prime* if whenever $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $rx \in N \setminus \phi(N)$, then $r \in (N :_R M)$ or $x \in N$.

MSC(2010): 13C13, 13C05

Keywords: second submodule, strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule.

Received: 4 August 2022, Accepted: 9 September 2023.

*Corresponding author.

Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Farshadifar and Ansari-Toroghy in [8], defined the notation of ψ -second submodules of M as a dual notion of ϕ -prime submodules of M . A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a ψ -second submodule of M if $r \in R$, K a submodule of M , $rN \subseteq K$, and $r\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$, then $N \subseteq K$ or $rN = 0$ [8].

The concept of 2-absorbing ideals was introduced in [6]. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a 2-absorbing ideal of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. In [3], the authors introduced the notion of strongly 2-absorbing second submodules as a dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules and investigated some properties of this class of modules. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M , and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ [3].

Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodules of M as a generalization of strongly 2-absorbing second and ψ -second submodules of M .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Let M be an R -module, $S(M)$ be the set of all submodules of M , $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. We say that a non-zero submodule N of M is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M if $a, b \in R$, K a submodule of M , $abN \subseteq K$, and $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$.

In Definition 2.1, since $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ implies that $ab(\psi(N)+N) \not\subseteq K$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $N \subseteq \psi(N)$ in the rest of this paper.

A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M , $abM \not\subseteq K$, and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ [5].

Let M be an R -module. We use the following functions $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

$$\psi_i(N) = (N :_M \text{Ann}_R^i(N)), \quad \forall N \in S(M), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\psi_\sigma(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i(N), \quad \forall N \in S(M).$$

$$\psi_M(N) = M, \quad \forall N \in S(M),$$

Then it is clear that strongly ψ_M -2-absorbing second submodules are weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules. Clearly, for any submodule and every positive integer n , we have the following implications:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{strongly } 2\text{-absorbing second} &\Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_{n-1}\text{-}2\text{-absorbing second} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_n\text{-}2\text{-absorbing second} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_\sigma\text{-}2\text{-absorbing second}. \end{aligned}$$

For functions $\psi, \theta : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$, we write $\psi \leq \theta$ if $\psi(N) \subseteq \theta(N)$ for each $N \in S(M)$. So whenever $\psi \leq \theta$, any strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule is a strongly θ -2-absorbing second submodule.

Remark 2.2. Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing second submodule and every ψ -second submodule of M is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M . Also, evidently M is a strongly ψ_M -2-absorbing second submodule of itself. In particular, $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ is not strongly 2-absorbing second \mathbb{Z} -module but M is a strongly ψ_M -2-absorbing second \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M .

In the following theorem, we characterize strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodules of an R -module M .

Theorem 2.3. *Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M ;
- (b) for submodule K of M with $aN \not\subseteq K$ and $a \in R$, we have $(K :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN) \cup (K :_R N) \cup (K :_R a\psi(N))$;
- (c) for submodule K of M with $aN \not\subseteq K$ and $a \in R$, we have either $(K :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ or $(K :_R aN) = (K :_R N)$ or $(K :_R aN) = (K :_R a\psi(N))$;
- (d) for each $a, b \in R$ with $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq abN$, we have either $abN = aN$ or $abN = bN$ or $abN = 0$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let for a submodule K of M with $aN \not\subseteq K$ and $a \in R$, we have $b \in (K :_R aN) \setminus (K :_R a\psi(N))$. Then since N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M , we have $b \in \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ or $bN \subseteq K$. Thus $(K :_R aN) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ or $(K :_R aN) \subseteq K :_R N$. Hence,

$$(K :_R aN) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN) \cup (K :_R N) \cup (K :_R a\psi(N)).$$

As we may assume that $N \subseteq \psi(N)$, the other inclusion always holds.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). This follows from the fact that if an ideal is the union of two ideals, it is equal to one of them.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq abN$ and $aN \not\subseteq abN$. Then by part (c), we have either $(abN :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ or $(abN :_R aN) = (abN :_R N)$. Hence, $abN = 0$ or $bN \subseteq abN$, as needed.

(d) \Rightarrow (a). Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$ and $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$. If $ab\psi(N) \subseteq abN$, then $abN \subseteq K$ implies that $ab\psi(N) \subseteq K$, a contradiction. Thus by part (d), either $abN = aN$ or $abN = bN$ or $abN = 0$. Therefore, $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$ and the proof is completed. \square

A proper submodule N of an R -module M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [10].

Remark 2.4. (See [2].) Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Let N be a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M such that $\text{Ann}_R^2(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$. Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. If $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$, then we are done because N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M . Thus suppose that $ab\psi(N) \subseteq K$. If $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$, then $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq N \cap K$. Hence $abN \subseteq N \cap K$ implies that $aN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$, as needed. So let $ab\psi(N) \subseteq N$. If $a\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$, then $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$. Thus $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$ implies that $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$ or $abN = a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N = 0$, as required. So let $a\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \subseteq K$. Similarly, we can assume that $b\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \subseteq K$. Since $\text{Ann}_R^2(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$, there exist $a_1, b_1 \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ such that $a_1b_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$. Thus there exists a completely irreducible submodule L of M such that $N \subseteq L$ and $a_1b_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$ by Remark 2.4. If $ab_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$, then $a(b + b_1)\psi(N) \not\subseteq L \cap K$. Thus $a(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$ implies that $aN \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$ or $abN = a(b + b_1)N = 0$, as needed. So let $ab_1\psi(N) \subseteq L$. Similarly, we can assume that $a_1b\psi(N) \subseteq L$. Therefore, $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)\psi(N) \not\subseteq L \cap K$. Hence, $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$

implies that $aN = (a + a_1)N \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq K$ or $abN = (a + a_1)(b + b_1)N = 0$, as desired. \square

Let M be an R -module. A submodule N of M is said to be *coidempotent* if $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(N))$. Also, M is said to be *fully coidempotent* if every submodule of M is coidempotent [4].

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. If M is a fully coidempotent R -module and N is a proper submodule of M with $\text{Ann}_R(\psi(N)) = 0$, then N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume on the contrary that $N \neq M$ is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then by Theorem 2.5, $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\psi(N))$. Hence as $\text{Ann}_R(\psi(N)) = 0$, we have $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) = 0$. Thus since N is coidempotent,

$$N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(N)) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^3(N)) = M,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Let N be a non-zero submodule of M . If N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M , then for any $a, b \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$, we have $abN = aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N)$.*

Proof. Let N be a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M and $ab \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Clearly, $abN \subseteq aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N)$. Now let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq L$. If $ab\psi(N) \subseteq L$, then we are done. If $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$, then $aN \subseteq L$ or $bN \subseteq L$ because N is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M . Hence $aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N) \subseteq L$. Now the result follows from Remark 2.4. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i -module for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R -module and each submodule of M is in the form of $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 .

Theorem 2.8. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ be a ring and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be an R -module, where M_1 is an R_1 -module and M_2 is an R_2 -module. Suppose that $\psi^i : S(M_i) \rightarrow S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function for $i = 1, 2$. Then $N_1 \times 0$ is a strongly $\psi^1 \times \psi^2$ -2-absorbing second submodule of M , where N_1 is a strongly ψ^1 -2-absorbing second submodule of M_1 and $\psi^2(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in R$ and $K_1 \times K_2$ be a submodule of M such that $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(N_1 \times 0) \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)((\psi^1 \times \psi^2)(N_1 \times 0)) &= a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \times a_2 b_2 \psi^2(0) \\ &= a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \times 0 \not\subseteq K_1 \times K_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then $a_1 b_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$ and $a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \not\subseteq K_1$. Hence, $a_1 b_1 N_1 = 0$ or $a_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$ or $b_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$ since N_1 is a strongly ψ^1 -2-absorbing second submodule of M_1 . Therefore, we have $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(N_1 \times 0) = 0 \times 0$ or $(a_1, a_2)N_1 \times 0 \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$ or $(b_1, b_2)N_1 \times 0 \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$, as requested. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let M be an R -module and $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If $(0 :_M t) \subseteq t\psi((0 :_M t))$, then $(0 :_M t)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule if and only if it is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If $(tM :_R \psi(tM)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$, then the submodule tM is strongly 2-absorbing second if and only if it is strongly ψ -2-absorbing second.*

Proof. (a) Suppose that $(0 :_M t)$ is a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M , $a, b \in R$, and K is a submodule of M such that $ab(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$. If $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \not\subseteq K$, then since $(0 :_M t)$ is strongly ψ -2-absorbing second, we have $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $ba \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ which implies $(0 :_M t)$ is strongly 2-absorbing second. Therefore we may assume that $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$. Clearly, $a(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$. If $a(b+t)\psi((0 :_M t)) \not\subseteq K$, then we have $(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(b+t) \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$. Since $at \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ therefore $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$. Now suppose that $a(b+t)\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$. Then since $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$, we have $ta\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$ and so $t\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq (K :_M a)$. Now $(0 :_M t) \subseteq t\psi((0 :_M t))$ implies that $(0 :_M t) \subseteq (K :_M a)$. Thus $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$, as needed. The converse is clear.

(b) Let tM be a strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule of M and assume that $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M with $abtM \subseteq K$. Since tM is strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule, we can suppose that $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq K$, otherwise tM is strongly 2-absorbing second. Now $abtM \subseteq tM \cap K$. If $ab\psi(tM) \not\subseteq tM \cap K$, then as tM is strongly ψ -2-absorbing second submodule, we are done. So let $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq tM \cap K$. Then $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq tM$. Thus $(tM :_R \psi(tM)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$ implies that $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(tM)$, as requested. The converse is clear. \square

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful comments.

REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*. Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 686-696.
2. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 2396-2416.
3. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, (2) **8** (2019), 1-10.
4. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Fully idempotent and coidempotent modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (4) **38** (2012), 987-1005.
5. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. Maleki-Roudposhti, *n-absorbing and strongly n-absorbing second submodules*, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., (1) **39** (2021), 9-22.
6. A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 417-429.
7. J. Dauns, *Prime modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 156-181.
8. F. Farshadifar and H. Ansari-Toroghy, *ψ -second submodules of a module*, Beitr. Algebra Geom., (3) **61** (2020), 571-578.
9. F. Farshadifar, *Modules with Noetherian second spectrum*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, (1) **1** (2013), 19-30.
10. L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249**, 121-145, (2006).
11. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37** (2001), 273-278.
12. N. Zamani, *ϕ -prime submodules*. Glasgow Math. J. **52** (2) (2010), 253-259.

H. Ansari-Toroghy

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 41335-19141 Rasht, Iran
ansari@guilan.ac.ir

F. Farshadifar

Department of Mathematics Education, Farhangian University, P.O. Box 14665-889 Tehran, Iran
f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir

S. Maleki-Roudposhti

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 41335-19141 Rasht, Iran
Sepidehmaleki.r@gmail.com